

## Stokes's Theorem

- Stoke's theorem is a far-reaching generalization of the fundamental theorem of calculus and of the classical theorems of vector calculus.

**Stokes's Theorem.** *Let  $M$  be a smooth, oriented  $n$ -dimensional manifold with boundary. Let  $\omega$  be a compactly supported smooth  $(n-1)$ -form on  $M$ . Then*

$$(1) \quad \int_M d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega.$$

**Remarks.** (1)  $\partial M$  is understood to have induced (Stokes) orientation, and the  $\omega$  on the right-hand side is to be interpreted as  $\omega|_{\partial M}$ .

(2) If  $\partial M = \emptyset$ , then the right-hand side is to be interpreted as zero.

(3) If  $\dim M = 1$ , the right-hand side is a finite sum.

*Proof.* **(I)** Begin by considering a special case: **Suppose**  $M = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

Then the fact that  $\omega$  has compact support means that  $\exists$  a number  $R > 0$  such that  $\text{supp } \omega$  is contained in the rectangle

$$A = [-R, R] \times \cdots \times [-R, R] \times [0, R].$$

We can write  $\omega$  in standard coordinates as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n. \\ \therefore d\omega &= \sum_{i=1}^n d\omega_i \wedge dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial x^j} dx^j \wedge dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx^i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial x^i} dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n. \\ \therefore \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} d\omega &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \int_A \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial x^i} dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \int_0^R \int_{-R}^R \cdots \int_{-R}^R \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial x^i}(x) dx^1 \cdots dx^n \end{aligned}$$

By the fundametal theorem of calculus, the terms with  $i \neq n$  reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} \int_0^R \int_{-R}^R \cdots \int_{-R}^R \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial x^i}(x) dx^1 \cdots dx^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \int_0^R \int_{-R}^R \cdots \int_{-R}^R \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial x^i}(x) dx^i dx^1 \cdots \widehat{dx^i} \cdots dx^n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \int_0^R \int_{-R}^R \cdots \int_{-R}^R [\omega_i(x)]_{x^i=-R}^{x^i=R} dx^i dx^1 \cdots \widehat{dx^i} \cdots dx^n \\ &= 0, \text{ since we have chosen } R \text{ large enough that } \omega = 0 \text{ when } x^i = \pm R. \end{aligned}$$

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

The only term that might not be zero is the one for which  $i = n$ , which is

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} d\omega &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1} \int_{-R}^R \int_{-R}^R \cdots \int_0^R \frac{\partial \omega_n}{\partial x^n}(x) dx^n dx^1 \cdots dx^{n-1} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{n-1} \int_{-R}^R \cdots \int_{-R}^R [\omega_n(x)]_{x^n=0}^{x^n=R} dx^i dx^1 \cdots \widehat{dx^i} \cdots dx^n \\
(2) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^n \int_{-R}^R \cdots \int_{-R}^R \omega_n(x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}, 0) dx^1 \cdots \widehat{dx^i} \cdots dx^n
\end{aligned}$$

since we have chosen  $R$  large enough that  $\omega = 0$  when  $x^n = R$ .

— To compare this term to the other side of (1), we compute as follows:

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} \omega = \sum_i \int_{A \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} \omega_i(x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}, 0) dx^1 \cdots \widehat{dx^i} \cdots dx^n.$$

Since  $x^n$  vanishes on  $\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we have  $dx^n \Big|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0$ .

Thus the only term above that is nonzero is the one for which  $i = n$ , namely,

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} \omega = \int_{A \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} \omega_n(x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}, 0) dx^1 \cdots dx^{n-1}.$$

– Taking into account that the coordinates  $(x^1, \dots, x^{n-1})$  are positive oriented for  $\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$  when  $n$  is even and negatively oriented when  $n$  is odd, this becomes

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} \omega = (-1)^n \int_{-R}^R \cdots \int_{-R}^R \omega_n(x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}, 0) dx^1 \cdots dx^{n-1},$$

which is equal to (2).

**(II)** Next let  $M$  be an **arbitrary** smooth manifold with boundary, but consider an  $n$ -form  $\omega$  that is **compactly supported in the domain of a single smooth chart**  $(U, \varphi)$ .

Assume w.l.o.g. that  $\varphi$  is an oriented chart, the definition yields

$$\int_M d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (\varphi^{-1})^* d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} d((\varphi^{-1})^* \omega),$$

since  $(\varphi^{-1})^* d\omega$  is compactly supported on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

– By the result in **(I)**, we have

$$(3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} d((\varphi^{-1})^* \omega) = \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} (\varphi^{-1})^* \omega,$$

where  $\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$  is given in the induced orientation.

- Since  $\varphi_*$  takes outward-pointing vectors on  $\partial M$  to outward-pointing vectors on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , it follows that  $\varphi|_{U \cap \partial M}$  is an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism onto  $\varphi(U) \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , and thus the right-hand side of (3)

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} (\varphi^{-1})^* \omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega.$$

This proves the theorem in this case.

- (III) Finally, let  $\omega$  be an arbitrarily compactly supported smooth  $(n-1)$ -form. Choose a cover of  $\text{supp } \omega$  by finitely many oriented smooth charts  $\{(U_i, \varphi_i)\}$ , and choose a subordinate smooth partition of unity  $\{\psi_i\}$ , we can apply the preceding argument to  $\psi_i \omega$  for each  $i$  and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial M} \omega &= \sum_i \int_{\partial M} \psi_i \omega = \sum_i \int_M d(\psi_i \omega) = \sum_i \int_M d\psi_i \wedge \omega + \psi_i d\omega \\ &= \int_M d\left(\sum_i \psi_i\right) \wedge \omega + \int_M \left(\sum_i \psi_i\right) d\omega = 0 + \int_M d\omega, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\sum_i \psi_i \equiv 1$ .  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $N$  be a smooth manifold and suppose that

$$\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow N$$

is a smooth embedding, so that  $M = \gamma([a, b])$  is an embedded 1-submanifold with boundary in  $N$ .

If we give  $M$  an orientation such that  $\gamma$  is orientation-preserving, then for any smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(N)$ , Stokes's theorem says that

$$\int_\gamma df = \int_{[a,b]} \gamma^* df = \int_M df = \int_{\partial M} f = f(\gamma(b)) - f(\gamma(a)),$$

which reduces to the fundamental theorem for line integrals in this case.

In particular, when  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the inclusion map, Stokes's theorem is the ordinary fundamental theorem of calculus.

**Corollary 2.** Suppose  $M$  is a compact smooth manifold without boundary. If  $\omega$  is an exact form over  $M$ , then the integral of  $\omega$  over  $\partial M$  is zero:

$$\int_M d\omega = 0 \quad \text{if } \partial M = \emptyset.$$

**Corollary 3.** Suppose  $M$  is a compact smooth manifold with boundary. If  $\omega$  is a closed form on  $M$ , then the integral of  $\omega$  over  $\partial M$  is zero:

$$\int_{\partial M} \omega = 0 \quad \text{if } d\omega = 0 \text{ on } M.$$

**Corollary 4.** Suppose  $M$  is a smooth manifold,  $S \subset M$  is a compact  $k$ -dimensional submanifold without boundary.

Suppose  $\omega$  is a closed  $k$ -form on  $M$  such that

$$\int_S \omega \neq 0.$$

Then  $\omega$  is **not** exact and  $S$  is **not** the boundary of a smooth, compact submanifold with boundary in  $M$ .

**Example.** The closed 1-form

$$\omega = \frac{x \, dy - y \, dx}{x^2 + y^2}$$

has nonzero integral over  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . Hence  $\omega$  is not exact on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\mathbb{S}^1$  is not the boundary of a smooth, compact, 2-dimensional submanifold with boundary in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Green's Theorem.** Suppose  $D$  is a smooth, compact domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $P, Q$  are smooth real-valued functions on  $D$ . Then

$$\int_D \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \right) dx dy = \int_{\partial D} P dx + Q dy.$$

*Proof.* This is Stokes's theorem applied to the 1-form  $P dx + Q dy$ .  $\square$