TPS2018, O6-AG6 (January 26, 2018)

Formation and Growth of Cosmic Giants: Lensing View of Galaxy Cluster Halos

Fujita, Umetsu, Rasia, Meneghetti, Donahue et al. 2018, submitted to *ApJ* (arXiv:1801.03943)

Keiichi Umetsu (梅津敬一), ASIAA

High-mass Galaxy Clusters: "Cosmic Giants"

Rare largest class (~10¹⁵ M_{sun}) of bound objects formed in the universe



N-body simulations (B. Diemer)

What are clusters made of?

Baryon fraction

Composition of Galaxy Clusters



Intracluster Medium (ICM)

ICM = fully ionized H-He plasma (T_e =3-15keV, n_e =10⁻²-10⁻³cm⁻³) ~ nonrelativistic ideal gas with γ =5/3



High-mass clusters probing nonlinear structure formation

- Standard paradigm for structure formation: ΛCDM
 - Collisionless, cold dark matter
- Clusters offer fundamental tests of assumed DM properties:
 - DM density profile shape, $\rho(r|M)$
 - Phase-space distribution of DM
 - Halo shape and alignments
 - Substructure distribution N(m,r|M)
 - DM-galaxy-ICM offset



Halo density profile



How DM halos form and evolve?

ΛCDM: "Inside-out" growth scenario

- DM halos are assembled from the inside out (Zhao+03).
- Internal structure of halos reflects their growth history (Ludlow+13).

(1) Fast-growth phase

Halos grow rapidly through gravitational collapse and major mergers.

Halo formation time : End of fast-growth phase

(2) Slow-growth phase

The halo outskirts ($r > r_s$) gradually grow via smooth matter accretion from surroundings, without changing the inner potential significantly.



Halo's characteristic radius r_s and $M_s = M(< r_s)$ preserve a memory of its formation time.

DM density field



Halo boundary (R_{sp})

Outer infall region

Key Questions

Do halos preserve a record of the thermodynamic history of ICM (~90% of the cluster baryons)?

- **X-ray observable**: Core-excised $T = T_{ICM}(50-500 \text{kpc})$
- Lensing observable: Halo characteristic radius, <r_s> = 500-600kpc for high-mass clusters (~1/5 of the halo boundary)

Does the ICM temperature (T) correlate with DM halo progenitor quantities (M_s , r_s)?

If yes: The ICM was likely heated during the fast-growth phase, and *T* was conserved in the subsequent slow-growth phase.

If so, how do (M_s, r_s, T) correlate? What is the degree of scatter?

Canonical predictions (e.g., virial theorem, Komatsu-Seljak pressure model):

$$T \propto f(c) \frac{M_s}{r_s} \sim \frac{M_s}{r_s}$$

Data: deep multi-wavelength data sets from the CLASH survey



High-resolution strong lensing & weak shear lensing analysis with deep 16-band HST ACS/WFC3 imaging (Zitrin+15, ApJ, 795, 163)



Wide-field weak-lensing shear & magnification analysis with deep 5-6 band Subaru/Suprime-Cam imaging (Umetsu+14, *ApJ*, 795, 163)

HST+Subaru-combined, strong-lensing, weak-lensing shear & magnification analysis on 20 high-mass CLASH clusters with $\langle M_{\rm vir} \rangle \sim 1.2 \ge 10^{15} M_{\rm sun}/h$ (Umetsu+16, *ApJ*, 821, 116)



X-ray analysis with deep *Chandra*/XMM X-ray imaging and spectroscopy (Donahue+14, *ApJ*, 797, 34)

Strong Lensing (multiple imaging)



Gravitational Shear

$$\gamma = |\gamma| e^{2i\phi} = \partial \partial \Psi / 2$$



Gravitational Magnification

$\kappa = \partial \partial^* \Psi / 2 = \Delta \Psi / 2$

Cluster MACSJ1149 (*z*=0.54) Zheng et al. 2012, *Nature*, 489, 406

Subaru/Suprime-Cam multicolor imaging for weak lensing shear & magnification

High-resolution space imaging with *HST* (ACS/WFC3) for strong lensing



34 arcmin

Umetsu et al. 2016, ApJ, 821, 116

Results: Principal Component Analysis

A tight fundamental plane (FP) exists with 0.045 dex scatter!!!



P₃ (orthogonal to FP)

Black: 20 CLASH clusters Red: adiabatic simulations



Fujita, Umetsu, Rasia+18

FP in simulated cluster halos

Cosmological *N*-body + hydro simulations with radiative cooling + nongravitational feedback (AGNs/SNe) by Rasia+2015



Observed vs. simulated FP

$$a \log(r_s) + b \log(M_s) + c \log(T) = \text{const.}$$



Observed FP



 $\propto (M_{\rm s}/r_{\rm s})^2 \times M_{\rm s}^{-0.2} r_{\rm s}^{-0.3}$

	Observation	Simulations				
		MUSIC	NF0	FB0	FB1	FB0+FB1
Non-gravitational effects	_	no	no	yes	yes	yes
Redshift	$0.377\substack{+0.309\\-0.190}$	0.25	0	0	1	0 + 1
ispersion around the plane (dex)	$0.045\substack{+0.008\\-0.007}$	0.025*	0.023	0.031	0.035	0.037

Fujita, Umetsu, Rasia+18

Projections of simulated clusters

Halos evolve with $r_s \propto M_s^{1/2}$ (Zhao+09) Formation epoch of halos $\rho_{\rm crit}(t_{\rm f}) \sim \frac{M_s}{r^3}$



MUSIC cosmological simulations (DM + adiabatic gas)

Fujita, Umetsu, Rasia+18

Stability of FP against mergers

Evolutionary track of a typical halo in the FB0+FB1 sample



What's the physics governing FP?

A possible explanation: Bertschinger (1985) similarity solution for secondary infall and accretion of gas in an E-de S universe



Shock-heated, virialized ideal gas, $P \propto \rho^{5/3}$, with M(< r)

Accretion shock radius (shock jump conditions satisfied)

(1) Entropy integral of B85
(2) P(k) ∝ k⁻² at cluster scales
→ (1)+(2) yield

 $T \propto \frac{M_{\rm s}^{1.5}}{r^{2.}}$



 $\overline{\rho} \propto a^{-3} \propto t^{-2}$

Summary

1. A tight fundamental plane exists in DM-ICM parameter space (r_s, M_s, T)

- In the "inside-out" growth picture of Λ CDM, this indicates that T was determined at the halo formation epoch ($\rho_{crit} \sim M_s/r_s^3$) and has been conserved during halo evolution.

2. The observed FP is tilted from the virial expectation, $T \propto M_s/r_s$, and can be explained by a similarity solution (Bertchinger85):

- Contributions from the momentum flux at the cluster boundary should be included (e.g., Bertschinger 85)
- For a self-consistent treatment of collisional gas + collisionless
 DM, see Shi (2016).
- 3. Numerical simulations reproduce the observed plane, regardless of the gas physics implemented in the code.

4. The plane is stable even against major mergers

Clusters evolve on FP along the direction of P1.

Supplemental slides



CLASH HST dataset



Zitrin et al. 2015, ApJ, 801, 44

Splashback radius, R_{sp} : Physical halo boundary



Splashback radius depends on MAR, halo peak height, cosmology (Ω_m)



 $R_{\rm sp} > r_{200{\rm m}}$

2

1

0

-1

-2

-2

 $z (h^{-1} Mpc)$









Pseudo phase-space density profile

Scale-free behavior with $Q(r):=\rho/\sigma^3 \propto r^{-1.875}$ expected for self-gravitating collisionless systems in equilibrium (Taylor & Navarro 01)

Dynamical Jeans + lensing analysis of a relaxed cluster to solve for M(r) and velocity orbital anisotropy, $\beta(r)$



- Observed Q(r) consistent with a power-law with the theoretically predicted index!!
- Better agreement for passive galaxy members than star forming ones

Biviano et al. 2013 (CLASH-VLT), A&A, 558, A1

(See also Munari+15)

CLUMI (CLUster lensing Mass Inversion): Multi-probe lensing analysis

Combining strong-lensing, weaklensing shear and magnification

 $\{M_{\rm 2D, \it i}\}_{\it i=1}^{N_{\rm SL}}, \{\langle g_{+, \it i}\rangle\}_{\it i=1}^{N_{\rm WL}}, \{\langle n_{\mu, \it i}\rangle\}_{\it i=1}^{N_{\rm WL}}.$

 $P(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|\mathrm{WL},\mathrm{SL}) \propto P(\mathrm{WL},\mathrm{SL}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma})P(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = P(\boldsymbol{n}_{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma})P(\boldsymbol{g}_{+}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma})P(\boldsymbol{M}_{2D}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma})P(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$





Umetsu 2013, ApJ, 769, 13

Galaxy Clusters as Cosmological Probes

Statistical and individual properties of rare massive clusters are sensitive to cosmology





Halo concentration, c_{Δ} $c_{\Delta} \equiv \frac{R_{\Delta}}{r_{s}} = \frac{(\text{Outer halo radius})}{(\text{Inner scale radius})}$



In hierarchical structure formation, <*c*> is predicted to correlate with *M*:

DM halos that are more massive collapse later on average, when the mean background density of the universe is correspondingly lower.

Sizable intrinsic scatter (at fixed *M*) ~30%-40%, reflecting diversity of mass accretion history & formation epoch.

Density structure of CDM halos

- Cuspy density profiles with outwardly steepening slopes
- Higher mass halos form later and are less concentrated
- Triaxial halo shape: massive halos being more prolate

Radial density profiles of DM halos 1.2 -2 NFW Dutton & Maccio 14 $(10^{10} M_{\odot} \text{ kpc}^{-3})$ -3 c200 0.8 log 10 -5 0.6 Q, Dwarfs 00 00 6 Jalaxies lusters 0.4 10

log r (kpc)

The concentration-mass relation

z=0

z=0.5

15

M_]

h-1

log10 M200

Cluster concentration-mass relation $c_{\Delta} \equiv$

Targeted lensing surveys of X-ray-selected clusters

LoCuSS (<*z*>~0.2)

CLASH (<*z*>~0.35)

 $r_{\rm s}$



Halo cooncentration is sensitive to cosmology



Dutton & Maccio 2014

The Bullet Cluster: Evidence of DM



 $(\sigma/m)_{SIDM} < 1/(L_{\rho}) \sim 1 \text{ cm}^2/g \text{ (Randall+08)}$

Halo in a smooth-accretion phase

Growth of halo outskirts via continuous accretion from surroundings

Halo in a fast-accretion phase

Major mergers: halos in the process of formation