

On characters of p -adic reductive groups

Cheng-Chiang Tsai

Let F be a non-archimedean local field, with residue field $k \cong \mathbb{F}_q$. For example $F = k((t))$. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a connected reductive group over F . Let $G = \mathbb{G}(F)$. This is a totally disconnected locally compact Hausdorff topological group. We also write $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathrm{Lie} \mathbb{G})(F)$, $\mathfrak{g}^* = (\mathrm{Lie} \mathbb{G})^*(F)$.

The goal of this note is to discuss the phenomenon that

The Fourier transform of a local character of G is supported on the nilpotent cone.

Specifically, we are referring to the Harish-Chandra–Howe local character expansion. Originally, the proof of Howe and Harish-Chandra in the 70’s “works over F .” In the 90’s and 00’s, Waldspurger, DeBacker and Adler-Korman gave a new proof and sometimes stronger results by “working over k .” We discuss this latter approach. The new proof needs more hypotheses, and because of that we assume $p = \mathrm{char}(k) > 6 \cdot \mathrm{rank}_{\bar{F}} \mathbb{G}$ today.

1. MOY-PRASAD THEORY

Let $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a maximal split torus. In this section, for simplicity of exhibition we assume that $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n/\mathbb{Z}$ are split reductive over $\mathbb{Z}$, and that $\mathbb{S}$ is mapped into the diagonal. We have a valuation map $\mathrm{val} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \sqcup +\infty$. Let $\mathcal{A} := X_*(\mathbb{S}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Every entry in the $n \times n$ square gives a character $\alpha \in X^*(\mathbb{S})$. For any $x \in \mathcal{A}$ we define

$$\text{For } r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{x,r} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{the entry of } X \text{ at } \alpha \text{ has valuation } \geq r + \langle x, \alpha \rangle\}$$

$$\text{For } r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{x,r}^* = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mid \text{the entry of } X \text{ at } \alpha \text{ has valuation } \geq r + \langle x, \alpha \rangle\}$$

$$\text{For } r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad G_{x,r} = \{g \in G \mid \text{the entry of } g - \mathrm{id} \text{ at } \alpha \text{ has valuation } \geq r + \langle x, \alpha \rangle\}$$

Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{x,r}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{x,r}^*$ are lattices, and $G_{x,r}$ are open compact subgroups. In fact $G_{x,n}$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) forms a topological basis for any $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Write $G_{x,r+} := G_{x,r+\epsilon}$ for very small $\epsilon > 0$. It can be shown that $G_{x,r+} \triangleleft G_{x,r}$ is normal. We assume there is a “logarithm”¹ map $\log : \bigcup_x G_{x,r} \rightarrow \bigcup_x \mathfrak{g}_{x,r}$ satisfying [DeB02, Hypothesis 3.2.1]. Then

$$G_{x,r}/G_{x,r+} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\log} \mathfrak{g}_{x,r}/\mathfrak{g}_{x,r+} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{g}_{x,-r}^*/\mathfrak{g}_{x,(-r)+}^*, k).$$

Let C be any field of characteristic 0, e.g. $C = \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$; all our functions and representations will be C -coefficient. Suppose we have a non-trivial homomorphism $\psi : (k, +) \rightarrow C^\times$. Consider any $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_{x,-r}^*/\mathfrak{g}_{x,(-r)+}^*$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\Gamma : G_{x,r} &\twoheadrightarrow G_{x,r}/G_{x,r+} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\log} \mathfrak{g}_{x,r}/\mathfrak{g}_{x,r+} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{g}_{x,-r}^*/\mathfrak{g}_{x,(-r)+}^*, k) &\rightarrow C^\times \\ & &\phi &\mapsto \psi(\phi(\Gamma)) \end{aligned}$$

¹I think under our assumption on p , Kazhdan-Varshavsky quasi-logarithm [BKV16, Appendix C] always exists and serves our purpose, but there are non-trivial things to verify and that’s not done in the literature. The readers are encouraged to pretend it is some approximation to classical logarithm on matrices.

Definition 1. We say Γ and ψ_Γ are **nilpotent**² if $\Gamma + \mathfrak{g}_{x,(-r)+}^*$ contains a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{g}^*$.

Definition 2. A smooth representation π is a vector space V over C and homomorphism $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$ such that for any $v \in V$ we have $\text{Stab}_G(v)$ open.

Theorem 3. (Moy-Prasad [MP94, Theorem 5.2]) Let π be an irreducible smooth representation. Then $\exists! r(\pi) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ (typically called the **depth**) such that

- (i) For $0 < r < r(\pi)$, we have $\text{Hom}_{G_{x,r}}(\psi_\Gamma, \pi) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_{x,-r}^* / \mathfrak{g}_{x,(-r)+}^*$.
- (ii) For $0 < r = r(\pi)$, we have $\text{Hom}_{G_{x,r}}(\psi_\Gamma, \pi) = 0$ when Γ is nilpotent.
- (iii) For $r > r(\pi)$, we have $\text{Hom}_{G_{x,r}}(\psi_\Gamma, \pi) = 0$ when Γ is non-nilpotent.

Sketch. The number $r(\pi)$ is defined as the infimum of r for which there exists $y \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the fixed subspace $\pi^{G_{y,r}} \neq 0$. We will only sketch (iii). Let $r > r(\pi)$. Fix $0 \neq v \in \pi^{G_{y,r}}$. Suppose $\text{Hom}_{G_{x,r}}(\psi_\Gamma, \pi) \neq 0$. Since $G_{x,r}$ is compact we also have $\text{Hom}_{G_{x,r}}(\pi, \psi_\Gamma) \neq 0$. We have to prove that Γ is nilpotent. Let $0 \neq \alpha \in \text{Hom}_{G_{x,r}}(\pi, \psi_\Gamma)$. Since π is irreducible, there exists $g \in G$ such that $\alpha(g \cdot v) \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \forall h \in G_{y,r} \text{ and } {}^g h &:= ghg^{-1}, \quad {}^g h \cdot \alpha(g \cdot v) = \alpha({}^g h \cdot g \cdot v) = \alpha(g \cdot h \cdot v) = \alpha(g \cdot v) \\ \implies \forall h \in G_{y,r} \cap (G_{x,r})^g, \quad \psi_\Gamma(\alpha(g \cdot v)) &= \psi_\Gamma({}^g h \cdot \alpha(g \cdot v)) = \psi(\langle \log({}^g h), \Gamma \rangle) \cdot \psi_\Gamma(\alpha(g \cdot v)) \\ &\implies \psi(\langle \log({}^g h), \Gamma \rangle) = 0, \quad \forall {}^g h \in {}^g(G_{y,r}) \cap G_{x,r} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\log({}^g(G_{y,r}) \cap G_{x,r}) = {}^g(\mathfrak{g}_{y,r}) \cap \mathfrak{g}_{x,r}$, the above implies

$$\implies \Gamma \in \text{im}(\mathfrak{g}_{x,-r}^* \cap {}^g(\mathfrak{g}_{y,(-r)+}^*) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{x,-r}^* / \mathfrak{g}_{x,(-r)+}^*)$$

Coming from $\mathfrak{g}_{y,(-r)+}^*$, one can represent Γ by a matrix whose eigenvalues have valuations $> -r$. From that it is possible (after non-trivial Bruhat-Tits theory) to show that Γ is nilpotent in Definition 1. $\square$

2. INVARIANT DISTRIBUTIONS

Definition 4. The space of **test functions** on G is

$$C_c^\infty(G) := \{f : G \rightarrow C \mid f \text{ is locally constant and } \text{supp}(f) \text{ is compact}\}.$$

Definition 5. An (G) -invariant distribution is a linear map $\Theta : C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow C$ such that $\Theta({}^g f) = \Theta(f)$ for any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ and $g \in G$. Here $({}^g f)(h) := f(g^{-1}hg)$.

Test functions and (G) -invariant distributions on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ are defined similarly.

Example 6. It is a non-trivial fact that if π is an irreducible smooth representation, then

$$\pi(f) : v \mapsto \int_G f(g) \pi(g) v \, dg$$

is a well-defined finite sum for any v and $\pi(f)$ has finite-dimensional image. If we choose a Haar measure on G (always possible) such that $|H| \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ for any open compact subgroups $H \subset G$, then

$$f \mapsto \Theta_\pi(f) := \text{Tr}(\pi(f)) \in C.$$

²They are called “degenerate” by Moy and Prasad.

is an invariant distribution, called the **character** of π .

Before we proceed, we have to define Fourier transforms. Denote again by $\psi : \mathcal{O}_F \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{m}_F = k \xrightarrow{\psi} C^\times$. Assume we can extend ψ to $\tilde{\psi} : F \rightarrow C^\times$ as a homomorphism (always possible if either $\text{char}(F) = p$, or $\text{char}(F) = 0$ and $C^\times$ has all p -power roots of unity). Fix one such $\tilde{\psi}$. Fix on $\mathfrak{g}$ a Haar measure so that every lattice has measure in $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. For $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ we define the Fourier transform $\hat{f} \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ by

$$\hat{f}(X) := \int_{Y \in \mathfrak{g}} f(Y) \tilde{\psi}(\langle X, Y \rangle) dY.$$

This is always a finite sum valued in C .

Let Θ be an invariant distribution on G and $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We say Θ **has depth** $< r$ iff the following property holds: For any $x \in \mathcal{A}$, $s \geq r$, $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_{x,-s}^*/\mathfrak{g}_{x,(-s)+}^*$ non-nilpotent, and smooth $\rho \in \text{Irr}(G_{x,r})$ satisfying $\text{Hom}_{G_{x,s}}(\psi_\Gamma, \rho) \neq 0$, we have

$$\Theta(\theta_\rho) = 0$$

where $\theta_\rho \in C_c^\infty(G_{x,r})$ is the character of ρ viewed as a test function.

Theorem 7. (DeBacker, essentially [DeB02, Theorem 3.5.2]³) *Suppose Θ has depth $< r$. Then*

“ $\text{supp}(\log^(\Theta|_{G_r}))$ is contained in the nilpotent cone.”*

This means, there exists an invariant distribution J_Θ on $\mathfrak{g}^$ such that*

- (1) *J_Θ is supported on the nilpotent cone, i.e. if $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is such that $\text{supp}(f)$ contains no nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{g}^*$, then $J_\Theta(f) = 0$.*
- (2) *$\Theta(f) = J_\pi(\widehat{f \circ \log})$ for any $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(G_{x,r})$.*

Corollary 8. (Harish-Chandra–Howe local character expansion) *Let π be an irreducible smooth representation and $r > r(\pi)$. Then the character Θ_π has depth $< r$ and therefore*

$\text{supp}(\log^(\Theta_\pi|_{G_r}))$ is contained in the nilpotent cone.*

Remark 9. Theorem 7 and Corollary 8 should be viewed as statements about the invariant distributions near $\text{id} \in G$. There are generalization for the invariant distributions near any semisimple $\gamma \in G$ by Adler-Korman [AK07, Theorem 12.1 and Corollary 12.9].

REFERENCES

- [AK07] Jeffrey D. Adler and Jonathan Korman, *The local character expansion near a tame, semisimple element*, Amer. J. Math. **129** (2007), no. 2, 381–403. MR 2306039 (2008a:22020)
- [BKV16] Roman Bezrukavnikov, David Kazhdan, and Yakov Varshavsky, *On the depth r Bernstein projector*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **22** (2016), no. 4, 2271–2311. MR 3573958
- [DeB02] Stephen DeBacker, *Homogeneity results for invariant distributions of a reductive p -adic group*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **35** (2002), no. 3, 391–422. MR 1914003 (2003i:22019)

³Technically, the proof is written for $C = \mathbb{C}$ and indirectly makes use of the calculus notion of absolute convergence. There are additional tricks to generalize to any characteristic zero field.

- [MP94] Allen Moy and Gopal Prasad, *Unrefined minimal K -types for p -adic groups*, Invent. Math. **116** (1994), no. 1-3, 393–408. MR 1253198 (95f:22023)