

§10.1

Recall that the generalized flag var $\mathcal{X}^\tau := G/P_\tau$ is a proj ind-subvar via the embedding $i: \mathcal{X}^\tau \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L(\lambda))$
 $\mathfrak{g}_\tau \mapsto [\mathfrak{g}, v_\lambda]$

Goal: describe $i(\mathcal{X}^\tau)$ and its defining relations.

Assume \mathfrak{g} is symple.

Note that $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda)$ contains a uniq copy of $L(2\lambda)$. We define, for $\lambda \in D$, the Kostant cone

$$K(\lambda) := \{ v \in L(\lambda) \mid v \otimes v \in L(2\lambda) \subseteq L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda) \}$$

We will see that $[v] \in i(\mathcal{X}^\tau) \iff v \in K(\lambda)$.

sum is finite if $\lambda \in D$

Prop $K(\lambda) = \{ v \in L(\lambda) \mid \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle v \otimes v = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\text{root}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_\alpha} e_\alpha^{(j)} v \otimes f_\alpha^{(j)} v \}$,

where $\{e_\alpha^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{n_\alpha} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \{f_\alpha^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{n_\alpha} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ are dual bases s.t. $e_\alpha^{(j)} = f_{-\alpha}^{(j)}$

$$n_\alpha = \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

(pf) Recall that the Casimir element is

$$\Omega = 2\mathcal{D}(p) + \Omega_0 + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \Omega_\alpha \quad \text{where } \Omega_\alpha := \sum_{j=1}^{n_\alpha} f_\alpha^{(j)} e_\alpha^{(j)}$$

$\Omega \curvearrowright L(\lambda)$ by mult \hbar of $\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle$

$$v: \mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \\ \hbar \mapsto \langle \hbar, \cdot \rangle$$

$$L(2\lambda) \text{ --- } \langle 2\lambda, 2\lambda + 2\rho \rangle = 4\langle \lambda, \lambda + \rho \rangle$$

$$\text{Hence } v \otimes v \in L(2\lambda) \iff \Omega(v \otimes v) = 4\langle \lambda, \lambda + \rho \rangle (v \otimes v)$$

$$\Omega(v) \otimes v + v \otimes \Omega(v) + 2 \sum \sum e_\alpha^{(j)} v \otimes f_\alpha^{(j)} v$$

$$\iff (4\langle \lambda, \lambda + \rho \rangle - 2\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle) v \otimes v = 2 \sum \sum e_\alpha^{(j)} v \otimes f_\alpha^{(j)} v$$

$$\parallel \\ 2\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle$$

\neq

Thm Let $\lambda \in D_{\mathbb{Z}}$. $\Upsilon = \{ i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle = 0 \}$. Then

$i(\mathcal{X}^\tau) \subset \mathbb{P}(L(\lambda))$ is given by the vanishing of eqn:

$$P_{\mu} := \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle v_\mu^* v_\mu^* - \sum \sum (e_\alpha^{(j)} v_\mu^*) (f_\alpha^{(j)} v_\mu^*) \in S^2(L(\lambda)^*),$$

where $\{v_\mu\}_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*}$ is a basis of $L(\lambda)$ consisting of wt vectors;

$$\{v_\mu^*\}_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \text{ be the dual basis of } L(\lambda)^\vee = \bigoplus_{\mu} L(\lambda)_\mu^*$$

(sketch of pf) suffices to show that any nonzero $v \in K(\lambda)$ lies in $G \cdot v_\lambda$.

Write $v = \sum_{\mu} v_{\mu}$ using wt sp decomp

Let $\text{supp}(v) := \{ \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid v_{\mu} \neq 0 \}$



$S(v) :=$ convex hull of $\text{supp}(v)$



Take a vertex $\mu \in S(v)$ closest to λ

$$\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{either } S(v) = \{w\lambda\} \text{ for some } w \in \mathbb{N} \\ \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow v = g v_\lambda \text{ for some } g \in \mathbb{N} \\ \text{or } s_\alpha \mu = \mu + k\alpha \text{ for some } k > 0 \text{ is a vertex} \\ \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \end{array} \right.$$

Idea from Kostant (found in [Garfinkle '82] for finite type

\Rightarrow symple KM [Kac-Peterson '83]

For $\mathfrak{g} = \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2, \lambda = \bar{\omega}_0$, these relns = KdV hierarchy [KP '86]

§10.2 Assume \mathfrak{g} is sym'ble. (outlined in [kp'83], see [Moody-Pierrola'97])

Defn Let \mathfrak{a} be a Lie alg. (V, π) an \mathfrak{a} -mod.

\mathfrak{a} is called π -triangular (or V -triangular) if \exists flag of \mathfrak{a} -submod
 $(\pi-\Delta)$ $(V-\Delta)$

$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots$ s.t. $V = \bigcup_i V_i$, each V_i/V_{i+1} is 1-dim'l

Δ Will see that ad-triangular $\Leftrightarrow (\text{Ad } \mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{f}_W := \mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{w}\mathfrak{f}^-$

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is called π -diagonal if $V = \bigoplus$ (1-dim'l submod)

$\dots \dots \dots$ π -finitely semisimple if $V = \bigoplus$ (fd simple)

π -locally finite if any $v \in V$ lies in a fd submod

Δ If V is countable dim'l then π -diag $\Rightarrow \pi-\Delta \Rightarrow \pi$ -loc fin.

Thm Let $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{g}$ and $\text{ad} := \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. TFAE: "

- (a) \mathfrak{a} is ad- Δ
- (b) \mathfrak{a} is fd, solvable, and ad-loc. fin.
- (c) \mathfrak{a} is $\pi-\Delta \forall \pi = L(\lambda)$ and $L(\lambda)^\vee$
- (d) \mathfrak{a} is $L(\lambda)-\Delta$ and $L(\lambda)^\vee-\Delta$ for some regular λ
- (e) $\exists g \in G^{\text{min}}, w \in W$ s.t. $(\text{Ad } g)\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{f}_w$

(sketch) (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d) : elementary

(d) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (a) : uses results from §6-8.

Cor If \mathfrak{a} is ad-loc. fin. then $\text{Exp } \mathfrak{a} = \langle T, U_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathfrak{F}_W \rangle \subseteq G^{\text{min}}$

Thm If $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{g}$ is ad-diag then $\exists g \in G^{\text{min}}$ s.t. $(\text{Ad } g)\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{f}$.
 Thus \mathfrak{a} is π -diag $\forall \pi = L(\lambda)$ and $L(\lambda)^\vee$

Δ same for loc. fin.

Thm (Jordan decomp)

Let $x \in \text{End}(V)$ or $x \in \mathfrak{g}$
 \uparrow fd vect sp \uparrow ad-loc fin.

Then $x = x_s + x_n$ ($x_s, x_n \in \text{End}(V)$ or \mathfrak{g}) s.t.

(a) $x_s x_n = x_n x_s$ or $[x_s, x_n] = 0$

(b) x_s is diagonalizable or π -diagonalizable for $\pi = \text{ad}, L(\lambda), L(\lambda)^\vee$

$\dots x_n$ is loc. nilpotent π -loc. nilp

(c) If $x.M \subseteq M$ then $x_s.M \subseteq M$ and $x_n.M \subseteq M$.

(d) $C^x = C^{x_s} \cap C^{x_n}$ with $C^x := \{y \in \text{End}(V) \mid yx = xy\}$
 (or \mathfrak{g}) (or $[y, x] = 0$)

Defn Cartan subalg = nilp. self-normalizing subalg

Maximal toral subalg = maximal ad-diagonalizable subalg

Let $\Gamma := \{ \theta \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g} \mid \theta \text{ stabilizes } \mathfrak{f}, \{e_i, z_i^L\}, \{f_i, z_i^R\} \}$.

Thm Let \mathfrak{g} be a symmetrizable KM alg.

(a) $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{g}$ is maximal toral

$\Leftrightarrow (\text{Ad } g)\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{f}$ for some $g \in G^{\text{min}}$

(b) Any $\theta \in \text{Aut } \mathfrak{g}$ can be written as

$\theta = \gamma \circ \omega^z \circ \text{Ad } g$

for some $g \in G^{\text{min}}, \gamma \in \Gamma, z \in \{0, 1\}$, where $\omega: e_i \mapsto -f_i, h \mapsto -h$

(c) $\mathfrak{g}(A) \cong \mathfrak{g}(B) \Leftrightarrow A = B$ up to permutation of rows/cols

§10.3 \mathfrak{g} is arbitrary KM

Defn/Thm Let $S \subseteq G$. TFAE:

- (a) $S \subseteq \mathfrak{g} P_T \mathfrak{g}$ for some $g \in G$ & finite std P_T
- (b) $S \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in \Lambda} B_w B$ where $\Lambda \subseteq W$ is finite
- (c) any G -projn V s.t. $\text{wt}(V) \subseteq C^\circ$ is loc. fin. under S -action

\uparrow
 interior of Tits cone $C := \bigcup_{w \in W} w D_R$

Such an S is called a bounded subgroup of G

$S \subseteq G^{\min}$ is called antibounded if $\exists g \in G^{\min}$, finite P_T^- s.t.

$$S \subseteq \mathfrak{g} P_T^- \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$$

Thm Let $S \subseteq G^{\min}$. TFAE:

- (a) S is bdd & antibdd
- (b) $g S g^{-1} \subseteq P_T \cap w P_T^- w^{-1}$ for finite $T, T', w \in W, g \in G^{\min}$
- (c) Adjoint action of S on \mathfrak{g} is loc. fin.

Due to [Kac-Peterson '87], using Hilbert-Mumford theory