

Chp 11 $H_T^*(G/B)$

I. Background:

- Hilbert's 15th problem = establishing a foundation for Schubert calculus,
≈ study of ring structure of $H^*(X) := H^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$
for $X = \text{Grassmannian } \text{GL}/P$, flag variety $\mathcal{F}\ell$, affine Grassmannian...
etc
- in terms of classes related to Schubert varieties (labeled by $W = NT$)
- ⚠ Sometimes we also consider equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(X)$
when X admits a T -action (In our case, $H^*(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_T^*(X)$)
- Now, we plan to study $H_T^*(X)$ for gen. flag variety $X = G/B$
in terms of the Nil Hecke ring R
 \Rightarrow computation on R is explicit so that we can prove
 - braid relations for Demazure operators on $H_T^*(X^T)$ and $H^*(X^T)$
 - twisted derivation properties on — — —

II. Demazure operators

Let G : Kac-Moody grp $\supseteq B$: Borel subgroup $\supseteq T$: maximal torus.

For each S_i , we have $\pi_i: X \rightarrow X^i$ where $X^i := G/P_i$.
 \downarrow
 Leray-Hirsch theorem (C5) $\Rightarrow H^*(X)$ is a free $H^*(X^i)$ -mod w/ basis $\{1, \delta\}$
 \therefore or $H^n(X) \cong H^n(X^i) \oplus \mathbb{Z} H^{n-2}(X^i)$
 or $\alpha = \pi_i^* \alpha_1 + \delta \pi_i^* \alpha_2$ for some $\alpha_j \in H^*(X^i)$

Defn: Demazure operator $D_i: H^n(X) \rightarrow H^{n-2}(X)$
 $\alpha \mapsto \pi_i^* \alpha_1$

Fact: $D_i^2 = 0$

Defn: $H_T^n(X) := H^*(ET \times_T X, \mathbb{Z})$

where $ET \rightarrow BT$ is the universal principal T -bundle

$$ET \times_T X := ET \times X / (e \cdot t, x) \sim (e, t \cdot x)$$

Similarly, LH thm $\Rightarrow H_T^n(X) \cong H_T^n(X^i) \oplus \mathbb{Z} H_T^{n-2}(X^i)$

$$\alpha = \hat{\pi}_i^* \alpha_1 + \delta \hat{\pi}_i^* \alpha_2$$

where $\hat{\pi}_i: ET \times_T X \rightarrow ET \times_T X^i$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle

Defn: $D_i: H_T^n(X) \rightarrow H_T^{n-2}(X)$
 $\alpha \mapsto \hat{\pi}_i^*(\alpha_2)$

Fact: (a) $D_i^2 = 0$

(b) \exists evaluation map $\eta: H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ s.t.

(c) η induces $\bar{\eta}: \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{H^*(BT)} H_T^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(X)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_T^*(X) & \xrightarrow{D_i} & H_T^*(X) \\ \eta \downarrow & \bigcap & \downarrow \eta \\ H^*(X) & \xrightarrow{D_i} & H^*(X) \end{array}$$

III. SETUP

Let $S := S(\mathbb{P}^*)$, $Q := \text{Frac } S$, W : Weyl group

$$S_{\mathbb{Z}} := S(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*) \quad \text{dual}$$

Ambient ring

$$Q_W := \bigoplus_{w \in W} Q \delta_w = \bigoplus_{w \in W} S_w Q \quad Q_w^* \equiv \Omega := \{w \rightarrow Q\}$$

UI

Nil Hecke ring

$$R := \bigoplus_{w \in W} x_w S \quad \xleftarrow{x_w \leftrightarrow D_i} \Lambda := \bigoplus S \mathfrak{z}^w$$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x_i^2 = 0 \\ x_w x_u = \begin{cases} x_{wu} & \\ 0 & \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$A(x_w) = \sum P_{uv}^w x_u \otimes x_v$$

$$\mathfrak{z}^u \mathfrak{z}^v = \sum P_{uv}^w \mathfrak{z}^w$$

Schubert basis

$$\bigoplus S_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{z}^w$$

II

$$\bigoplus S_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{z}^w$$

Thm

$$\sum \hat{e}_u \hat{e}_v = \sum_w P_{uv}^w \hat{e}_w$$

IV. Nil Hecke ring R

Defn Endow $\mathbb{Q}_w := \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathbb{Q}_{\delta_w}$ a ring struc. wr mult'n

$$(P\delta_v)(q\delta_w) = P(vq)\delta_{vw}$$

e.g. Abbreviate δ_{s_i} by δ_i .

$$\delta_i \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(\delta_i - \delta_e) = \delta_i(\frac{1}{\alpha_i})(\delta_{s_i^2} - \delta_{s_i}) = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(\delta_e - \delta_i) = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(\delta_i - \delta_e)$$

$$\delta_e \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(\delta_i - \delta_e) = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(\delta_i - \delta_e)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_i}(\delta_i - \delta_e) \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(D) := 0$$

Prop Let $x_i := \frac{1}{\alpha_i}(\delta_i - \delta_e)$, then:

$$(a) x_i^2 = 0$$

$$x_e := \delta_e$$

(b) $x_w := x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n}$ where $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_n}$ reduced, is well-defined.

$$(c) x_u x_w = \begin{cases} x_{uw} & \text{if } l(u) + l(w) = l(uw) \\ 0 & \text{otw} \end{cases}$$

Write $x_w = \sum C_{uv} \delta_v$ for $C_{uv} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then

(d) Matrix $C = (C_{u,v})$ {is triangular $\Rightarrow \{x_w\}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of \mathbb{Q}_w }
has nonzero diagonal

(PF) (a) ✓, (b)(c)(d) proved simultaneously via induction on $l(w)$

Prop For reduced $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_n} \geq v$, we have

$$C_{w,v} = (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{\sum \xi_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ s_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots s_{i_n}^{e_n} = v}} \frac{1}{(s_{i_1}^{\xi_1} \alpha_{i_1}) / \dots / (s_{i_1}^{\xi_1} \dots s_{i_n}^{\xi_n} \alpha_{i_n})}$$

In particular, recall $\Phi_{\tilde{w}} := \Delta^+ \cap w \Delta^- = \{\beta_j := s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{n-1}} \alpha_{i_n}\}$.

$$C_{ww} = (-1)^n \frac{1}{(-\beta_1) \dots (-\beta_n)} = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_{\tilde{w}}} \beta^{-1} -$$

(PF: direct computation)

Now, consider the \mathbb{Q} -linear comult'n $\Delta: \mathbb{Q}_w \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_w \otimes \mathbb{Q}_w$ given by

$$\Delta(q\delta_w) := (q\delta_w) \otimes \delta_w = \delta_w \otimes (q\delta_w) \text{ using left } \mathbb{Q}\text{-vect sp struc}$$

⚠ Even though \mathbb{Q}_w is a \mathbb{Q} -coalg, it's not a \mathbb{Q} -alg:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{e.g. } \Delta(x_i) &= \frac{1}{\alpha_i} (\Delta(\delta_i) - \Delta(\delta_e)) = \frac{1}{\alpha_i} (\delta_i \otimes \delta_i - \delta_e \otimes \delta_e) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha_i} (\delta_i \otimes \delta_i - \delta_i \otimes \delta_e + \delta_i \otimes \delta_e - \delta_e \otimes \delta_e) \\ &= \delta_i \otimes x_i + x_i \otimes \delta_e = (x_i x_i + x_e) \otimes x_i + x_i \otimes x_e \end{aligned}$$

Prop Write $\Delta(x_w) = \sum_{u,v} P_{u,v}^w x_u \otimes x_v$ for $P_{u,v}^w \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$P_{u,v}^w \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is homogeneous of degree $l(u) + l(v) - l(w)$.

In particular, $P_{u,v}^w = 0$ unless $l(u) + l(v) \geq l(w)$.

(PF) Induction on $l(w)$:

base case: $P_{s_i, s_i}^{\delta_i} = \alpha_i$ is of deg $1+1-1=1$

$$P_{s_i, e}^{\delta_i} = P_{e, s_i}^{\delta_i} = 1 \quad \dots \quad 0$$

$$P_{e, e}^{\delta_i} = 0 \quad \text{since } l(e) + l(e) = 0 \neq l(s_i) = 1$$

inductive case skipped

Defn/Prop Now \mathbb{Q} is a left \mathbb{Q}_w -module from mult'n on \mathbb{Q}_w :

$$\mathbb{Q}_w \times \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{We write } P\delta_v \bullet q = P(vq)$$

$$(P\delta_v, q) \mapsto P(vq) \quad \text{Thus } x_i \bullet q = \frac{s_i q - q}{\alpha_i}$$

The nil Hecke ring is $R := \{a \in \mathbb{Q}_w \mid a \bullet S \subseteq S\} = \bigoplus x_w S = \bigoplus S x_w$
Moreover, $\delta_w \in R$.

(PF uses Tits cone, topology (Baire category thm) ... etc.)

V. Goresky-Kottwitz-MacPherson ring Λ

Define $\Omega := \{W \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\} \cong \Omega_W^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}_W, \mathbb{Q})$

$$f \mapsto \theta_f: \mathbb{Q}_W \mapsto f(W)$$

Ω is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra under multipl. induced from Λ on Ω_W , i.e.,

$$(Pf + Qg)(w) = Pf(w) + Qg(w), \quad (fg)(w) := f(w)g(w)$$

Note $\mathbb{Q}_W \cap \Omega_W^*$ under $\mathbb{Q}_W \times \Omega_W^* \rightarrow \Omega_W^*$

$$(a, \theta_f) \mapsto a \cdot \theta_f: b \mapsto \theta_f(ba)$$

Equivalently, we have $\mathbb{Q}_W \cap \Omega$ via $(g \circ f): v \mapsto (vg) f(v)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Weyl grp action} & \rightsquigarrow & (\delta_w \circ f): v \mapsto f(vw) \\ \text{Demazure} & \rightsquigarrow & (x_i \circ f): v \mapsto \frac{f(vx_i) - f(v)}{vx_i} \end{array}$$

Defn/Prop

The Schubert basis $\{\zeta^w\}_w$ is defined by $\zeta^w \in \Omega_W^*$, $\zeta^w(x_u) = \delta_{u,w}$

The GKM ring Λ is the S -subalg $\Lambda := \bigoplus S \zeta^w \subseteq \Omega_W^*$

III

$$\{f \in \Omega \mid f(R) \in S, f(x_w) \text{ almost zero}\}$$

△ Any $f \in \Lambda$ satisfies the GKM condition: $f(S_\alpha w) - f(w) \in \alpha S$

forall $w \in W$

$$\text{Prop (a)} \quad x_i \circ \zeta^w = \begin{cases} \zeta^{ws_i} & \text{if } ws_i < w; \\ 0 & \text{if } ws_i \geq w \end{cases} \quad \delta_i \circ \zeta^w = \begin{cases} \zeta^w & \text{if } ws_i > w \\ 0 & \text{if } ws_i \leq w \end{cases}$$

$$(b) \quad \zeta^u \zeta^v = \sum_{w \geq u, v} p_{u,v}^w \zeta^w$$

$$(c) \quad (\text{twisted der}) \quad X_i \circ (fg) = (X_i \circ f) g + (\delta_i \circ f) (X_i \circ g) \quad \forall f, g \in \Omega$$

(pf: direct computation)

Now, let $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} := \bigoplus S_{\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^w$ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded $S_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -alg with even grading

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^{2d} := \bigoplus S_{\mathbb{Z}}^{d-\text{even}} \zeta^w,$$

where $S_{\mathbb{Z}}^j := S^j(S_{\mathbb{Z}})$. has degree $2j$.

IV The isomorphism

We define an $S_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -alg hom $\nu: H_T^*(X) \rightarrow \Omega$ as follows:

$$H_T^*(X)$$

↓

$$H_T^*(X^T)$$

↓

$$H_T^*(W)$$

↓

$$S_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes H^0(W)$$

$$= \bigoplus_j S_{\mathbb{Z}}^j \otimes H^0(W)$$

↓

$$\bigoplus_j \{W \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{Z}}^j\}$$

$$= \Omega$$

Thm (a) ν is injective $\hookrightarrow \nu: H_T^*(X) \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ as graded $S_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -alg
 (b) $\text{Im } \nu = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ $\hookrightarrow \nu: H^*(X) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ as graded \mathbb{Z} -alg

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_T^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \Omega \\ w \downarrow & \bigcup & \downarrow \delta_w \circ \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \bigcup \delta_w \circ \zeta^w \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_w \circ \\ H_T^*(X) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \Omega \end{array}$$

$H_T^*(X) = \bigoplus S_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}_w$
 where $\mathbb{E}_w := \nu(\zeta^w)$
 forms the Schubert basis

Cor (a) Both \hat{D}_i and D_i satisfy the braid relations

$\Rightarrow \hat{D}_w$ and D_w are well-defined

(b) Both twisted derivation property

e.g.

$$D_i(xy) = (D_i x)y + (s_i x)(D_i y) \quad \forall x, y \in H^*(X)$$

(c) $H_T^*(X)^W = S_{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot H_T^0(X)$, $H^*(X)^W \cong (\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda)^W \cong H^0(X)$

(d) $\hat{\Sigma}_u \hat{\Sigma}_v = \sum_{u, v \leq w} p_{u, v}^w \hat{\Sigma}_w \quad (\text{similar results hold for } H^*(X))$

$$\hat{D}_i \hat{\Sigma}_w = \begin{cases} \hat{\Sigma}_{ws_i} & \text{if } ws_i < w \\ 0 & \text{otw} \end{cases}$$