

SMOOTH REPRESENTATION THEORY FOR LOCALLY PROFINITE GROUPS

Note by Tzu-Jan Li. Date: March 14th, 2023

1. Locally profinite groups

1.1. A group G is called **locally profinite** if it is a locally compact, Hausdorff and totally disconnected topological group. Upon setting

$$\Omega(G) = \{\text{open compact subgroups of } G\},$$

a theorem of van Dantzig (see for example [Ws, Sec. 1.1]) says that *a locally profinite group G admits $\Omega(G)$ as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of the identity element 1_G of G* (in fact the converse is also true).

1.2. Here are some examples of locally profinite groups:

(1) Finite groups (with discrete topology) are locally profinite (and compact).

(2) The p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p (where p is a prime number), equipped with the p -adic topology and regard as an additive group, is locally profinite. In \mathbb{Q}_p , a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0 by open compact subgroups is given by $\{p^n\mathbb{Z}_p : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where \mathbb{Z}_p is the ring of p -adic integers.

(3) p -adic reductive groups, such as $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (the group of 2×2 invertible matrices over \mathbb{Q}_p), are also locally profinite. In $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, a fundamental system of neighborhoods of id_2 by open compact subgroups is $\{\text{id}_2 + p^n\text{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) : n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$, where $\text{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the set of 2×2 matrices over \mathbb{Z}_p .

(4) Galois groups $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ for (infinite) Galois extensions of fields $F \subset K$, equipped with the Krull topology, are locally profinite and compact. In $\text{Gal}(K/F)$, a fundamental system of neighborhoods of id_K by open compact normal subgroups is $\{\text{Gal}(K/E) : F \subset E \subset K, \text{ and } F \subset E \text{ is a finite Galois extension}\}$.

2. Smooth representations

From now on and till the end of this note, let G be a locally profinite group, and let R be a commutative ring with unity 1.

2.1. A function from G to R is called **smooth** if it is locally constant. In this way, “smooth” representations of G are G -modules whose elements are “locally stabilized” by G ; to be more precise, let $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_R(V)$ be a representation of G over R (that is, π is a group homomorphism), where V is an R -module and $\text{GL}_R(V)$ denotes the group of R -module isomorphisms from V to itself. The representation π is called **smooth** if for every $v \in V$, the stabilizer $G_v := \{g \in G : \pi(g)v = v\}$ is open in G . An equivalent way to saying this is to say that V is a **smooth RG -module** (here $RG := R[G]$ is the group ring of G over R), namely V is an RG -module with the action given by π such that all elements of V admit open stabilizers in G .

When G is a finite group, all RG -modules are smooth because the smoothness condition is automatically fulfilled.

2.2. We return to general G . By definition, a **linear character of G over R** is a group homomorphism from G to $R^\times = \text{GL}_1(R)$. For a linear character $\varphi : G \rightarrow R^\times$, the following equivalences can be easily verified:

$$\varphi \text{ is smooth} \iff \ker \varphi \text{ is open in } G \iff \varphi \text{ is locally constant.}$$

In particular, for general R , the **trivial representation $\mathbf{1}_G$** of G over R (that is, $\mathbf{1}_G = R$ where G acts trivially) is always smooth. When $R = \mathbb{C}$ equipped with Euclidean topology, as we shall see in the next lemma, the above three equivalent conditions are also equivalent to the condition that φ be continuous.

2.3. Lemma. *A linear character $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is continuous (where \mathbb{C} is equipped with the Euclidean topology) if and only if it is locally constant.*

Proof. (Compare [We, Lem. VII.4].) Suppose that φ is continuous, and we want to show that it is locally constant. Fix any choice of $K \in \Omega(G)$, and denote by $\varphi_K : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ the restriction of φ to K . Then $|\varphi_K| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times$ is a continuous group homomorphism, so the image of $|\varphi_K|$ is a compact subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times$ and must thus equal to $\{1\}$. Thus φ_K has its image in $S^1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, and this image $\varphi_K(K) = \varphi(K)$ is a subgroup of S^1 . Choose an open neighborhood U of 1 in $E := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}(z) > 0\}$, so that $\varphi^{-1}(U)$ is an open neighborhood of 1 in G . The group G being locally profinite, there is a $K' \in \Omega(G)$ such that $K' \subset \varphi^{-1}(U) \cap K$ (theorem of van Dantzig). Then $\varphi(K') = \varphi_K(K')$ is a subgroup of $S^1 \cap E$, hence must be equal to $\{1\}$; in other words, $K' \subset \ker \varphi$, whence the local constancy of φ .

Conversely, if φ is locally constant, then, as $\varphi(1) = 1$, there is an open neighborhood U of 1 in G such that $\varphi = 1$ on U ; so $U \subset \ker \varphi$, and then for every $A \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$ we see that $\varphi^{-1}(A) = \varphi^{-1}(A) \cdot \ker \varphi$ is open in G , whence the continuity of φ . \square

2.4. Lemma. *The space $C_c^\infty(G, R)$ of locally constant functions from G to R with compact support is a smooth $R[G \times G]$ -module with the following (left) $(G \times G)$ -action: for $(x, y) \in G \times G$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(G, R)$, $((x, y) \cdot f)(g) := f(x^{-1}gy)$ for all $g \in G$. Indeed, we may identify*

$$C_c^\infty(G, R) = \bigcup_{K \in \Omega(G)} C_c^\infty(G, R)^{K \times K},$$

where $C_c^\infty(G, R)^{K \times K}$ consists of elements of $C_c^\infty(G, R)$ fixed by $K \times K$.

Proof. For $f \in C_c^\infty(G, R)$, there are finitely many open compact subsets U_i of G such that f is constant on each U_i . Using the locally profinite topology of G and the continuity of the map $(g, h) \in G \times G \mapsto gxh \in G$, we can find a sufficiently small $K \in \Omega(G)$ so that each U_i is the disjoint union of a finite number of open compact subsets of G of the form KxK (with $x \in G$). We thus have $f \in C_c^\infty(G, R)^{K \times K}$. \square

3. Haar measures

3.1. An R -module homomorphism $T : C_c^\infty(G, R) \longrightarrow R$ will be called a **distribution on G over R** . For a distribution T on G over R , It is customary to write $T(f) = \langle T, f \rangle$ for $f \in C_c^\infty(G, R)$. Each (left) G -action on $C_c^\infty(G, R)$ induces a (left) G -action on distributions $T : C_c^\infty(G, R) \longrightarrow R$ via

$$\langle xT, f \rangle := \langle T, x^{-1}f \rangle$$

for all $x \in G$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(G, R)$.

The $(G \times G)$ -action on the space $C_c^\infty(G, R)$ described in Lemma 2.4 may be separated into two actions: the **left translation** $(l(x)f)(g) = f(x^{-1}g)$ and the **right translation** $(r(y)f)(g) = f(gy)$ where $x, y, g \in G$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(G, R)$; note that l and r are both left G -actions on $C_c^\infty(G, R)$. For a distribution T on G over R , we may thus consider $l(g)T$ and $r(g)T$ for $g \in G$.

3.2. A **Haar measure of G over R** is, by definition, a nontrivial distribution

$$\mu : C_c^\infty(G, R) \longrightarrow R$$

which is left-invariant in the way that $l(g)\mu = \mu$ for all $g \in G$. When G has a Haar measure μ , for $f \in C_c^\infty(G, R)$ it is customary to write

$$\mu(f) = \int_G f d\mu = \int_{g \in G} f(g) d\mu(g).$$

3.3. To discuss the existence of Haar measures, we need the notion of the **pro-order** $|G|$ for our locally profinite groups G (see [V, I.1.5]): when G is compact, its pro-order $|G|$ is defined as the least common multiple of $[G : K]$ for K running over elements of $\Omega(G)$ (we regard $|G|$ as a supernatural number, identified as a function from the set of prime numbers to $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$); for general G , its pro-order $|G|$ is defined as the least common multiple of $|K|$ for K running over elements of $\Omega(G)$. For example: if G is finite, then its pro-order $|G|$ is just its order; for a prime number p , we have $|\mathbb{Q}_p| = p^\infty$ and $|\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)| = (p-1)(p^2-1)p^\infty$.

With this preparation, we have the next lemma for Haar measures.

3.4. Lemma. (a) *If there is a $K \in \Omega(G)$ with $|K| \in R^\times$, then there is a unique Haar measure μ_K of G such that the volume $\mu_K(K) := \mu_K(\mathbf{1}_K) = 1$ (where $\mathbf{1}_K$ is the characteristic function of G with support K), and any other Haar measure μ of G over R is of the form $\mu = c \cdot \mu_K$ for some $0 \neq c \in R$.*

(b) *For $K \in \Omega(G)$, we have the following equivalence:*

$$|K| \in R^\times \iff G \text{ has a Haar measure } \mu \text{ over } R \text{ such that } \mu(K) = 1.$$

Proof. (See also [V, I.2.4].) When there is a $K \in \Omega(G)$ with $|K| \in R^\times$, we first set for all $K' \in \Omega(G)$ set the volume $\mu_K(K') := [K' : K] := \frac{[K':K' \cap K]}{[K:K' \cap K]}$; for each $f \in C_c^\infty(G, R)$, we may find a $K' \in \Omega_G$, $g_1, \dots, g_r \in G$ and $c_1, \dots, c_r \in R$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \mathbf{1}_{g_i K'}$ (arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.4), and then we set $\mu_K(f) := \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \cdot \mu_K(K')$ which may be checked to be well-defined. This uniquely constructs μ_K , and the other assertions are easily verified in the same fashion. \square

3.5. Corollary. *For each $K \in \Omega(G)$, G admits a unique Haar measure μ over \mathbb{C} such that $\mu(K) = 1$.*

3.6. Suppose that G admits a Haar measure μ over R such that $\mu(K) = 1$ for some $K \in \Omega(G)$. For each $g \in G$, as the right translation $r(g)\mu$ is left invariant (that is, invariant under the left translations $l(x)$ for all $x \in G$), we know from Lemma 3.4 that there is a constant $0 \neq \Delta(g) \in R^\times$ such that $r(g)\mu = \Delta(g)\mu$ (indeed, $\Delta(g)$ is only nonzero in R *a priori*, but as $\Delta(g^{-1})\Delta(g)\mu = r(g^{-1})r(g)\mu = \mu$, we get $\Delta(g^{-1})\Delta(g) = 1$ and hence $\Delta(g) \in R^\times$), and it is easy to verify that the association $g \mapsto \Delta_G(g)$ gives a linear character $\Delta_G : G \rightarrow R^\times$, called the **modulus of G over R** . Note that:

(a) Δ_G is independent of choices of Haar measure μ such that $\mu(K) = 1$ for some $K \in \Omega(G)$ (depending on μ), as all such Haar measures of G differ only by a unit in R thanks to Lemma 3.4. (This independence from μ also follows from (b) below.)

(b) We have $\Delta_G(g) = [gKg^{-1} : K] := \frac{[gKg^{-1}:gKg^{-1} \cap K]}{[K:gKg^{-1} \cap K]}$ for $g \in G$ and $K \in \Omega(G)$ with $|K| \in R^\times$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_G(g) \int_{x \in G} f(x) d\mu(x) &= \int_{x \in G} f(x) d(r(g)\mu)(x) = \int_{x \in G} f(x) d\mu(xg^{-1}) \\ &= \int_{y \in G} f(yg) d\mu(y) \quad (\text{take } y = xg^{-1}); \end{aligned}$$

setting therein $f = \mathbf{1}_K$ and using the relation $\mu(Kg^{-1}) = \mu(gKg^{-1})$, we will get the desired formula for Δ_G .

(c) When $R = \mathbb{C}$, Δ_G takes its values in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times$ and is smooth, as its restriction to every $K \in \Omega(G)$ is trivial ($\Delta_G(K)$ is a compact subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times$ and so must be $\{1\}$).

3.7. Suppose that G admits a Haar measure μ over R such that $\mu(K) = 1$ for some $K \in \Omega(G)$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\Delta_G = 1$ on G ;
- (ii) the Haar measure μ (and thus all Haar measures of G over R) is bi-invariant (that is, invariant under $l(x)$ and $r(x)$ for all $x \in G$).

When one of (i) and (ii) above holds for G , we call G **unimodular over R** .

3.8. Here are some examples of (non-)unimodular groups when $R = \mathbb{C}$:

- (1) Compact groups are unimodular over \mathbb{C} (see (c) above).

- (2) Commutative groups are unimodular over \mathbb{C} (Haar measures are bi-invariant).
(3) p -adic reductive groups are also unimodular over \mathbb{C} . (See [R, V.5.4] for a proof.)

(4) [V, I.2.7] The Borel subgroup $B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Q}_p^\times & \mathbb{Q}_p \\ 0 & \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \end{pmatrix}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is not unimodular over \mathbb{C} . For $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in B$, we can evaluate $\Delta_G(g)$ by (b) above: taking the compact subgroup $K = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix}$ of B , we have $gKg^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times & ad^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \end{pmatrix}$, so $\Delta_B(g) = [gKg^{-1} : K] = [ad^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p : \mathbb{Z}_p] = p^{\mathrm{val}_p(d/a)}$ (here val_p is the p -adic valuation).

4. Global Hecke algebras

4.1. For a distribution $T : C_c^\infty(G, R) \rightarrow R$, we may restrict it to an open subset U of G and get a distribution $T|_U : C_c^\infty(U, R) \rightarrow R$ via the relation $T|_U(f) = T(i_U(f))$, where $i_U(f) \in C_c^\infty(G, R)$ is the extension of f to G by zero outside U ; the **support** of T is the set of elements $g \in G$ for which $T|_U \neq 0$ for every open neighborhood U of x . On the other hand, the preceding distribution T is called **locally constant** if there is a $K \in \Omega(G)$ which fixes T by the left translation l (that is, $l(x)T = T$ for all $x \in K$).

4.2. The **global Hecke algebra of G over R** , denoted by $H_R(G)$, is the space of distributions $T : C_c^\infty(G, R) \rightarrow R$ which are locally constant and of compact support. The space $H_R(G)$ is a smooth (left) $R[G \times G]$ module where $G \times G$ acts by (l, r) (left and right translations). Moreover, $H_R(G)$ an (associative) R -algebra, where R acts by scalar multiplication, the addition is $(T_1 + T_2)(f) = T_1(f) + T_2(f)$ for $T_1, T_2 \in H_R(G)$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(G, R)$, and the multiplication is the convolution $*$ defined as follows: for $T_1, T_2 \in H_R(G)$, $T_1 * T_2$ is the unique element in $H_R(G)$ satisfying

$$\int_{g \in G} f(g) d(T_1 * T_2)(g) = \int_{(g_1, g_2) \in G \times G} f(g_1 g_2) dT_1(g_1) dT_2(g_2)$$

for every $f \in C_c^\infty(G, R)$. We shall often write $T_1 * T_2$ simply as $T_1 T_2$.

4.3. Let us consider the following condition:

$$(4.3.1) \quad \text{there is a } K_0 \in \Omega(G) \text{ such that } |K_0| \in R^\times.$$

Recall from Lemma 3.4 that the condition (4.3.1) is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a Haar measure of G over R .

4.4. Lemma. *Suppose that G satisfies (4.3.1), and let μ be the Haar measure of G over R normalized by $\mu(K_0) = 1$. For all $K \in \Omega(K_0)$, set $\mu_K = \frac{1}{\mu(K)}\mu$, which is the Haar measure of G over R normalized by the condition $\mu_K(K) = 1$. Then*

$$e_K := \mathbf{1}_K \mu_K$$

is an idempotent of $H_R(G)$ (that is, $e_K^2 = e_K$), and we have $e_{K'}e_K = e_Ke_{K'} = e_K$ for all $K, K' \in \Omega(K_0)$ with $K' \subset K$.

Proof. It suffices to show the identity $e_{K'}e_K = e_K$ in the assertion; the equality $e_Ke_{K'} = e_K$ can be proved similarly, and the idempotency of e_K (that is, $e_K^2 = e_K$) is the special case of $K' = K$. So let $K, K' \in \Omega(K_0)$ with $K' \subset K$. For each $f \in C_c^\infty(G, R)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_{K'}e_K, f \rangle &= \int_{(g_1, g_2) \in G \times G} f(g_1g_2) \mathbf{1}_{K'}(g_1) \mathbf{1}_K(g_2) d\mu_{K'}(g_1) d\mu_K(g_2) \\ &= \int_{(g_1, g_2) \in K' \times K} f(g_1g_2) d\mu_{K'}(g_1) d\mu_K(g_2) \\ &= \int_{(g_1, x) \in K' \times K} f(x) d\mu_{K'}(g_1) d\mu_K(x) \quad (x = g_1g_2 \in K, \text{ as } K' \subset K) \\ &= \int_{x \in K} f(x) d\mu_K(x) = \langle e_K, f \rangle; \end{aligned}$$

therefore $e_{K'}e_K = e_K$. \square

4.5. The algebra $H_R(G)$ admits natural actions on smooth representations of G over R : each smooth representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_R(V)$ induces an $H_R(G)$ -action on the R -module V by

$$Tv = \int_{g \in G} \pi(g)v dT(g)$$

for all $T \in H_R(G)$ and $v \in V$. (One may verify that $(T_2T_1)v = T_2(T_1v)$ for all $T_1, T_2 \in H_R(G)$ and $v \in V$.)

4.6. Lemma. *Suppose that G satisfies (4.3.1). Let $K \in \Omega(K_0)$, so that we have the idempotent $e_K = \mathbf{1}_K\mu_K \in H_R(G)$ (Lemma 4.4). Then, for every smooth RG -module V , its K -invariant subspace $V^K := \{v \in V : xv = v \text{ for all } x \in K\}$ is equal to e_KV .*

Proof. For $v \in V$, we have $e_Kv = \int_{g \in K} gv d\mu_K(g)$. For each $x \in K$, we may calculate $xe_Kv = \int_{g \in K} xgv d\mu_K(g) = \int_{h \in K} hv d\mu_K(x^{-1}g) = \int_{h \in K} hv d\mu_K(h) = e_Kv$ (μ_K is left-invariant), so $e_KV \subset V^K$. Conversely, if $v \in V^K$, then $e_Kv = \int_{g \in K} v d\mu_K(g) = v$, so $V^K \subset e_KV$. Thus $V^K = e_KV$. \square

4.7. If G has a Haar measure μ over R such that $\mu(K) = 1$ for some $K \in \Omega(G)$, elements in $H_R(G)$ may be “represented” by $C_c^\infty(G, R)$ as follows: define the convolution $*_\mu$ on $C_c^\infty(G, R)$ by

$$(f_1 *_\mu f_2)(x) = \int_{g \in G} f_1(g)f_2(g^{-1}x) d\mu(g)$$

for $f_1, f_2 \in C_c^\infty(G, R)$; then, equipping $C_c^\infty(G, R)$ with $*_\mu$ as multiplication, the map

$$C_c^\infty(G, R) \rightarrow H_R(G), \quad f \mapsto f\mu,$$

is an isomorphism of R -algebras. (See [BZ, 1.28-1.30] for a proof.)

5. Some frequently used functors

We shall denote by $\text{Rep}_R(G)$ the category of smooth representations of G over R .

5.1. [V, I.4.1] The **smooth part** functor $(\cdot)^\infty : \{RG\text{-modules}\} \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_R(G)$ is defined for every RG -module V by

$$V^\infty = \{v \in V : \text{the stabilizer } G_v \text{ of } v \text{ by the } G\text{-action is open in } G\} = \bigcup_{K \in \Omega(G)} V^K.$$

Thus an RG -module V is smooth if and only if $V^\infty = V$. The functor $(\cdot)^\infty$ is left exact but not right exact; a counterexample for the right-exactness is the surjective $R[\mathbb{Q}_p]$ -homomorphism $\gamma : R[\mathbb{Q}_p] \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_R$ given by $\gamma(f) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p} f(x)$ (we identify the group ring $R[\mathbb{Q}_p]$ as functions from \mathbb{Q}_p to R with finite support): indeed, as each open compact subgroup of \mathbb{Q}_p is of infinity cardinality, we have $R[\mathbb{Q}_p]^\infty = 0$, so the map $\gamma^\infty : R[\mathbb{Q}_p]^\infty = 0 \longrightarrow (\mathbf{1}_R)^\infty = R$ induced by γ is not surjective.

5.2. [V, I.4.12] The **dual** functor $(\cdot)^* : \{RG\text{-modules}\} \longrightarrow \{RG\text{-modules}\}$ is defined for every RG -module V by $V^* = \text{Hom}_R(V, R)$ with the G -action given by $(gv^*)(v) := v^*(g^{-1}v)$ for $g \in G$ and $(v, v^*) \in V \times V^*$. The **contragredient** functor $\tilde{\cdot} : \text{Rep}_R(G) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_R(G)$ is defined by $\tilde{V} = (V^*)^\infty$ for every $V \in \text{Rep}_R(G)$.

5.3. [V, I.5] Let H be a closed subgroup of G . The restriction of G -actions to H gives the **restriction** functor $\text{Res}_H^G : \text{Rep}_R(G) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_R(H)$. On the inverse direction, we have two types of “inductions”:

(i) The **induction** functor $\text{Ind}_H^G : \text{Rep}_R(H) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_R(G)$, which associates to each $W \in \text{Rep}_R(H)$ the smooth RG -module $\text{Ind}_H^G W := V^\infty$ where

$$V = \{f : G \longrightarrow W : f(hg) = h \cdot f(g) \text{ for all } h \in H \text{ and } g \in G\}$$

is the RG -module with the (left) G -action $(xf)(g) := f(gx)$ for $x, g \in G$ and $f \in V$.

(ii) The **compact induction** functor $\text{ind}_H^G : \text{Rep}_R(H) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_R(G)$, which associates to each $W \in \text{Rep}_R(H)$ the following smooth sub- RG -module of $\text{Ind}_H^G W$:

$$\text{ind}_H^G W = \{f \in \text{Ind}_H^G W : \text{the support of } f \text{ is compact}\}.$$

If $H \setminus G$ is compact (in particular, if G is a finite group), then $\text{ind}_H^G = \text{Ind}_H^G$.

We have **Frobenius reciprocities** for our closed subgroup H of G :

$$\text{Hom}_{RG}(V, \text{Ind}_H^G W) \simeq \text{Hom}_{RH}(\text{Res}_H^G V, W) \text{ as } R\text{-modules,}$$

and, if H is also open in G ,

$$\text{Hom}_{RG}(\text{ind}_H^G W, V) \simeq \text{Hom}_{RH}(W, \text{Res}_H^G V) \text{ as } R\text{-modules.}$$

We also have **Mackey's formulae**: when H and K are two closed subgroups such that HgK is open and closed in G for every $g \in G$, we have the following isomorphisms in $\text{Rep}_R(H)$ for $W \in \text{Rep}_R(K)$: ($\text{Ad}_x(g) = xgx^{-1}$ is the adjoint action)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_H^G \text{Ind}_K^G W &\simeq \prod_{g \in H \backslash G / K} \text{Ind}_{H \cap \text{Ad}_x(K)}^H \text{Res}_{H \cap \text{Ad}_x(K)}^{\text{Ad}_x(K)} \text{Ad}_x W; \\ \text{Res}_H^G \text{ind}_K^G W &\simeq \bigoplus_{g \in H \backslash G / K} \text{ind}_{H \cap \text{Ad}_x(K)}^H \text{Res}_{H \cap \text{Ad}_x(K)}^{\text{Ad}_x(K)} \text{Ad}_x W. \end{aligned}$$

5.4. [V, I.4] For every closed subgroup H of G , we have the **invariant** functor $(\cdot)^H$ and the **coinvariant** functor $(\cdot)_H$: (below, V is an RG -module)

$$\begin{aligned} (\cdot)^H : \{RG\text{-modules}\} &\longrightarrow \{R\text{-modules}\}, & V^H &= \{v \in V : hv = v \text{ for all } h \in H\}; \\ (\cdot)_H : \{RG\text{-modules}\} &\longrightarrow \{R\text{-modules}\}, & V_H &= V / V(H) \text{ with } V(H) = \sum_{\substack{h \in H \\ v \in V}} R \cdot (hv - v). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $(\cdot)^G$ and $(\cdot)_G$ both give functors from $\text{Rep}_R(G)$ to $\text{Rep}_R(G)$; $(\cdot)^G$ is left exact and $(\cdot)_G$ is right exact. For $V \in \text{Rep}_R(G)$, V_G is the largest quotient of V on which G acts trivially. If $|G| \in R^\times$, then $e_G : V \rightarrow V^G$ is a projection with kernel $V(H)$, so e_G descends into an isomorphism $V_G \simeq V^G$ in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$, and the functors $(\cdot)_G \simeq (\cdot)^G$ are exact; for general $|G|$, $(\cdot)^G$ and $(\cdot)_G$ need not be exact (see § 5.6(1)(2) below).

5.5. [V, II.2] Let G be a p -adic reductive group, and fix a choice of parabolic triple (P, M, U) ; that is, $P = MU \simeq M \rtimes U$ is a parabolic subgroup, M is a Levi subgroup of P , and U is the unipotent radical of P (all such parabolic triples are G -conjugate). For $W \in \text{Rep}_R(M)$, we may regard it as an element in $\text{Rep}_R(P)$ via the quotient $P \rightarrow P/U = M$, and then induce it to G ; this gives a **parabolic induction** functor

$$i_M^G : \text{Rep}_R(M) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_R(G), \quad W \longmapsto \text{ind}_P^G W = \text{Ind}_P^G W$$

($\text{ind}_P^G = \text{Ind}_P^G$ because $P \backslash G$ is compact). On the other hand, for each $V \in \text{Rep}_R(G)$, the coinvariant space V_U lies in $\text{Rep}_R(M)$ because M normalizes U ; we then get a **parabolic restriction** functor

$$r_M^G : \text{Rep}_R(G) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_R(M), \quad V \longmapsto V_U.$$

The functor i_M^G admits r_M^G as its left adjoint:

$$\text{Hom}_{RM}(r_M^G V, W) \simeq \text{Hom}_{RG}(V, i_M^G W) \text{ as } R\text{-modules.}$$

A representation $V \in \text{Rep}_R(G)$ is called **cuspidal** if $r_M^G(V) = 0$ for all *proper* parabolic triples (P, M, U) (“proper” means that $M \neq G$), or equivalently (by the above adjunction between r_M^G and i_M^G) if $\text{Hom}_{RG}(V, i_M^G W) = 0$ for all proper parabolic triples (P, M, U) and for all $W \in \text{Rep}_R(M)$. (See § 5.6(4) below for an example.)

5.6. Let us use the above tools to analyse the smooth RG -module $V = \text{ind}_B^G(\mathbf{1}_B)$ in detail, where $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with p a prime number and with \mathbb{F}_p the finite field of p elements, $B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{F}_p^\times & \mathbb{F}_p \\ 0 & \mathbb{F}_p^\times \end{pmatrix}$, and $R = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ with ℓ a prime number such that $\text{ord}_\ell(p) = 2$ (that is, ℓ dividing $(p+1)$ but not dividing $(p-1)$; in particular, $\ell \neq p$). Observe that $|G| = (p^2-1)(p^2-p) = p(p-1)^2(p+1) = 0 \in R$.

We identify $V = R[G/B] := \bigoplus_{x \in G/B} R.[x]$ (the $[x]$'s are formal symbols) where G acts on left by multiplication: $g \cdot [x] = [gx]$ for $g \in G$ and $x \in G/B$. Via the bijection

$$G/B \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p \cup \{\infty\}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} B \longmapsto [a : c] = a/c,$$

we also identify $V = R[\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)] := \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)} R.[x]$, where $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G$ acts on $[x] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$ by $g \cdot [x] = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$. For $f \in V$, we then write $f = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)} f_x \cdot [x]$ ($f_x \in R$).

Now consider the map

$$\pi : V \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}_G, \quad f \longmapsto \sum_{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)} f_x,$$

which is a surjective morphism in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$. Moreover, for the map

$$\delta : \mathbf{1}_G = R \longrightarrow V, \quad r \longmapsto r \cdot \sum_{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)} [x],$$

we have $\pi \circ \delta = 0$ since $\pi \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)} [x] \right) = p+1 = 0 \in R = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$. Let $E = \ker \pi$, so that $\delta(\mathbf{1}_G) \subset E$; upon setting $F = E/\delta(\mathbf{1}_G)$, we obtain two exact sequences in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$:

$$(5.6.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{1}_G \longrightarrow 0;$$

$$(5.6.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}_G \xrightarrow{\delta} E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0.$$

We may write $V = (E|\mathbf{1}_G) = (\mathbf{1}_G|F|\mathbf{1}_G)$ to record the above two exact sequences.

(1) *The surjective map π gives an example of non-right-exactness of $(\cdot)^G$.* Indeed, we have $V^G = \delta(\mathbf{1}_G)$ in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$, so π induces $\pi^G : V^G = \delta(\mathbf{1}_G) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{1}_G)^G = \mathbf{1}_G$, which is a zero map (because $\pi \circ \delta = 0$) and is hence no longer surjective.

(2) *The injective map δ gives an example of non-left-exactness of $(\cdot)_G$.* To see this, we first calculate $E_G = E/E(G)$. Note first that $\mathcal{B} := \{[x] - [\infty] : x \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$ is a basis for the R -vector space E . Let $v = [\infty] - [0] \in E$; for $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G$ ($a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$), we have $gv - v = [a^{-1}] - [\infty] \in E(G)$; for $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G$ ($b \in \mathbb{F}_p$), we have $hv - v = [0] - [b] \in E(G)$; then $[0] - [\infty] = ([0] - [1]) + ([1] - [\infty]) \in E(G)$, so $\mathcal{B} \subset E(G)$

and we deduce that $E(G) = E$, whence $E_G = 0$. The injective map δ then induces $\delta_G : (\mathbf{1}_G)_G = \mathbf{1}_G \longrightarrow E_G = 0$ which is not injective.

(3) *The sequences (5.6.1) and (5.6.2) are not split in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$.* To prove this, notice first that $V = \text{ind}_B^G \mathbf{1}_B$ is a projective object in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$: as $|B| = p(p-1)^2 \in R^\times = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times$, $\mathbf{1}_B$ is projective in $\text{Rep}_R(B)$, so the Frobenius reciprocity and the exactness of Res_B^G imply that V is projective. If (5.6.1) were split in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$, then $\mathbf{1}_G$ would be a direct summand of V and would thus be projective, so $(\cdot)^G$ would be an exact functor, contradicting (1). Thus (5.6.1) is not split in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$. On the other hand, if (5.6.2) were split in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$, then $\mathbf{1}_G$ would be a direct summand of E , so that we would have $E_G \supset (\mathbf{1}_G)_G = \mathbf{1}_G \neq 0$; but this would contradict (2). Therefore (5.6.2) is not split in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$, either.

(4) *The smooth RG -module F is cuspidal.* Indeed, as all proper parabolic triples of G are G -conjugate to (B, T, U) with $T = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{F}_p^\times & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{F}_p^\times \end{pmatrix}$ and $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{F}_p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, to prove that F is cuspidal, it suffices to show that $F_U = 0$. As $|U| = p \in R^\times = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell^\times$, we know that $(\cdot)_U$ and $(\cdot)^U$ in $\text{Rep}_R(U)$ are isomorphic and are exact (§ 5.4), and that every exact sequence in $\text{Rep}_R(U)$ splits (in fact, $\text{Rep}_R(U) \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$). In particular, showing $F_U = 0$ is the same as showing $F^U = 0$. We now apply the exact functor $(\text{Res}_U^G(\cdot))^U$ to the exact sequences (5.6.1) and (5.6.2), and we get the following two split exact sequences in $\text{Rep}_R(U)$:

$$(5.6.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E^U \longrightarrow V^U \xrightarrow{\pi^U} \mathbf{1}_U \longrightarrow 0;$$

$$(5.6.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}_U \xrightarrow{\delta^U} E^U \longrightarrow F^U \longrightarrow 0.$$

Using Mackey's formula and the identifications $U \backslash G / B \simeq B \backslash G / B \simeq \left\{ \text{id}_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ (Bruhat's decomposition), we have $\text{Res}_U^G V = \mathbf{1}_U \oplus \text{reg}_U$ where $\text{reg}_U = \text{ind}_1^U \mathbf{1}$ is the regular character of U ; we then have $V^U = \mathbf{1}_U \oplus \mathbf{1}_U$, so (5.6.3) gives us $E^U = \mathbf{1}_U$, and then (5.6.4) gives us $F_U = F^U = 0$. Thus F is a cuspidal representation in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$.

6. Irreducible and admissible representations

6.1. A representation $V \in \text{Rep}_R(G)$ is called **irreducible** if it is nonzero and if its only smooth RG -submodules are 0 and V itself. We shall denote by $\text{Irr}_R(G)$ the set of *isomorphism classes* of irreducible representations in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$.

A representation $V \in \text{Rep}_R(G)$ is called **admissible** if V^K is an R -module of finite type for every $K \in \Omega(G)$.

6.2. Recall that G is called **countable at infinity** if it is the union of countably many compact subsets. Compact groups are clearly countable at infinity. In addition, p -adic reductive groups are countable at infinity, since for a p -adic reductive group

G , its Cartan decomposition into (K, K) -cosets for a maximal compact subgroup K implies that the double quotient $K \backslash G / K$ is countable. (For $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with p a prime number, one of its maximal compact subgroup is $K = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, and $K \backslash G / K$ is in bijection with $T^{++} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t^a & 0 \\ 0 & t^b \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a \geq b \right\}$.)

6.3. Schur's lemma. *Let R be a field and $V \in \mathrm{Rep}_R(G)$ be irreducible. Then:*

(a) *The endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}_{RG}(V) = \mathrm{Hom}_{RG}(V, V)$ is a division ring.*

(b) *$\mathrm{End}_{RG}(V) = R$ if the following two conditions both hold: (i) R is algebraically closed; (ii) one of the following is true: (1) $\dim_R V < |R|$, or (2) V is admissible, or (3) G is countable at infinity and $|R|$ is uncountable.*

Proof. (See also [BZ, 2.11], [R, B.I] and [V, I.6].) The irreducibility of V implies that each $\sigma \in \mathrm{End}_{RG}(V)$ is either zero or an invertible operator, so (a) follows.

Now we prove (b). Suppose that (i) holds and that there is a $\sigma \in \mathrm{End}_{RG}(V)$ such that $\sigma \neq c \cdot \mathrm{id}_V$ for all $c \in R$. We are going to prove that (ii) does not hold.

By assumption, we may define invertible operators $\sigma_c := (\sigma - c)^{-1}$ on V for all $c \in R$, and these operators σ_c ($c \in R$) are linearly independent: indeed, for every $c_1, \dots, c_r \in R$ and $d_1, \dots, d_r \in R$, the operator $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^r d_i \sigma_{c_i} = (\prod_{i=1}^r \sigma_{c_i}) P(\sigma)$ for some $P(t) \in R[t]$, and then, by factorizing $P(t) = \prod_{j=1}^s (t - a_j)$ ($a_j \in R$) (we can do this by (i)), we get $P(\sigma) = \prod_{j=1}^s \sigma_{a_j}^{-1}$, so τ is invertible.

Fix any $0 \neq v \in V$. The invertibility of τ implies that $\{\sigma_c v : c \in R\}$ is a linearly independent subset of V^{G_v} , where G_v is the stabilizer of v in G , so that

$$\dim_R V \geq \dim_R V^{G_v} \geq |R|;$$

in particular, as $|R| = \infty$ by (i), we have $\dim_R V^{G_v} = \infty$, so V is not admissible. In addition, the irreducibility of V shows that $V = RGv = R[G/G_v]v$. If G is countable at infinity, then $[G : G_v]$ is countable, so $\dim_R V = \dim_R R[G/G_v]v$ is countable. As $\dim_R V \geq |R|$, we see that $|R|$ is also countable. \square

6.4. Suppose that R is a field, that $|G| \in R^\times$, and that $V \in \mathrm{Rep}_R(G)$ is admissible. Then, by [BZ, 2.15], we have:

(a) \tilde{V} is admissible, and we have a canonical isomorphism $V \simeq \tilde{V}$ in $\mathrm{Rep}_R(G)$.

(b) V is irreducible if and only if \tilde{V} is irreducible.

6.5. By [BZ, 2.12], when G is countable at infinity, we have the *completeness of the system of irreducible representations in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$* : for every $0 \neq T \in H_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ there exists an irreducible $V \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ such that the action of T on V (§ 4.5) is nonzero.

This result need not hold when \mathbb{C} is replaced by general R . For example, consider $G = \mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ (as an additive group) and $R = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$, so that $\mathrm{Rep}_R(G) = \mathrm{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, and we have $H_R(G) = H_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_2}(\mathbb{F}_2) = R \cdot \mathbf{1}_0 + R \cdot \mathbf{1}_1$, where $\mathbf{1}_i : G \rightarrow R$ is the characteristic

function of G with support $\{i\}$. Moreover, there is only one irreducible representation in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$, namely the trivial representation $\mathbf{1}_G$. Now take $T := \mathbf{1}_0 + \mathbf{1}_1 \in H_R(G)$: we have $T \neq 0$, but the action of T on the trivial representation $\mathbf{1}_G = R$ is zero, since for $1 \in R$ we have $T \cdot 1 = 1 + 1 = 2 = 0 \in R = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2$.

7. Representations of compact groups

In this section, let G be a compact group.

Observe that for every open compact normal subgroup K , the quotient group G/K is finite (because G is compact); this observation makes the smooth representation theory of G resemble the representation theory of finite groups:

(a) *Every irreducible $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ is of finite type as an R -module.*

(b) *Every $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ is unitary (in the way that there is a G -invariant inner product on V) and hence is completely reducible (that is, split as a direct sum of irreducible submodules). The category $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ is thus semisimple.*

(c) *We have a decomposition*

$$\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G) = \prod_{V \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)} \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)_V,$$

where $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)_V$ is the V -isotypic component of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ (that is, $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)_V$ is the subcategory of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ formed by smooth $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules whose irreducible components are all isomorphic to V). For each $V \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$, we have

$$\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)_V = e_V \cdot \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$$

where e_V is the central idempotent of $H_R(G)$ defined by

$$e_V = (\deg V) \cdot \text{trace}(g^{-1}|V)\mu_G(g),$$

with $\deg V = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ being the degree of V and μ_G being the Haar measure of G over \mathbb{C} normalized by $\mu_G(G) = 1$. The idempotents $\{e_V : V \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)\}$ are orthogonal: $e_V e_W = 0$ whenever $V, W \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ with $V \neq W$.

8. Compact representations

We return to general G (not necessarily compact).

8.1. Let $V \in \text{Rep}_R(G)$. For $(v, \tilde{v}) \in V \times \tilde{V}$, we shall write $\tilde{v}(v)$ as $\langle \tilde{v}, v \rangle$, and we call the function

$$\gamma_{v, \tilde{v}} : G \longrightarrow R, \quad g \longmapsto \langle g\tilde{v}, v \rangle = \langle \tilde{v}, g^{-1}v \rangle$$

the **matrix coefficient** of V with respect to (v, \tilde{v}) . The representation V is called **compact** if all of its matrix coefficients $\gamma_{v, \tilde{v}}, (v, \tilde{v}) \in V \times \tilde{V}$, are of compact support.

One can show that *irreducible compact representations in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$ are of finite type as R -modules (and thus admissible)*. (Restrict them to their supports and apply § 7(a).)

8.2. Lemma. *Let R be a field and suppose that G satisfies (4.3.1). If $V \in \text{Rep}_R(G)$ is compact and is of finite type as an RG -module, then it is admissible.*

Proof. (See also [BZ, 2.40-2.41] and [V, I.7.3-I.7.4].) For such a $V \in \text{Rep}_R(G)$ (compact and of finite type), $V = \sum_{i=1}^r RGv_i$ for some $v_1, \dots, v_r \in V$. Let G_{v_i} be the stabilizer of v_i in G (each G_{v_i} is an open subgroup in G), and set $N = \bigcap_{i=1}^r G_{v_i}$ which is an open subgroup in G , so that $V = V^N$. For every $K \in \Omega(K_0 \cap N)$, we may consider the idempotent e_K in $H_R(G)$ (Lemma 4.4), and then $V = V^K = e_K V = \sum_{i=1}^r V_i$ where each $V_i := e_K RGv_i$ (Lemma 4.6). To show that V is admissible, it then suffices to show that each V_i is of finite dimension over R .

We prove $\dim_R V_i < \infty$ by contradiction. So suppose $\dim_R V_i = \infty$, so that there would be a sequence $(g_j)_{j \geq 1}$ in G such that $\{u_j := e_V g_j v_i \mid j \geq 1\}$ is a linearly independent subset in V_i ; we could then construct a functional $T : V^K \rightarrow R$ such that $T(u_j) = j$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $T = 0$ outside $\bigoplus_{j \geq 1} Ru_j$, and then extend it to a functional $T : V \rightarrow R$ via $T(v) := T(e_K v)$ for all $v \in V$, so that $T \in (V^*)^K \subset \tilde{V}$. We would then have $\gamma_{v_i, T}(g_j^{-1}) = T(g_j v_i) = T(u_j) = j$, so $\gamma_{v_i, T}$ would have an unbounded image and thus could not have compact support, contradicting to the compactness of V . \square

8.3. Suppose from now on that R is a field, that $|G| \in R^\times$, that G is unimodular over R , and that $V \in \text{Rep}_R(G)$ is irreducible and compact (and thus admissible by §§ 8.1-8.2).

Let us consider the following maps:

(i) $a : V \otimes_R \tilde{V} \rightarrow \text{End}_{RG}(V)^\infty$ is the R -linear map such that $a(v \otimes \tilde{v})(w) = \langle \tilde{v}, w \rangle v$ for all $v \otimes \tilde{v} \in V \otimes_R \tilde{V}$ and $w \in V$. With the natural $(G \times G)$ -action on $V \otimes_R \tilde{V}$ and the $(G \times G)$ -action on $\text{End}_{RG}(V)^\infty$ via $(g \cdot \sigma)(v) := g(\sigma(g^{-1}v))$ for $g \in G$, $\sigma \in \text{End}_{RG}(V)^\infty$ and $v \in V$, the map a is an $R[G \times G]$ -isomorphism: indeed, as V is admissible, for each $K \in \Omega(G)$ we have $\tilde{V}^K = (V^*)^K = (V^K)^*$ (see [BZ, 2.14(a)]) and thus

$$\dim_R(V \otimes_R \tilde{V})^{K \times K} = (\dim_R V^K)^2 = \dim_R(\text{End}_{RG}(V)^\infty)^{K \times K} < \infty.$$

(ii) $\gamma : V \otimes_R \tilde{V} \rightarrow C_c^\infty(G, R)$ is the R -linear map such that $\gamma(v \otimes \tilde{v}) = \gamma_{v, \tilde{v}}$ for $v \otimes \tilde{v} \in V \otimes_R \tilde{V}$ (§ 8.1; the map γ is well-defined since V is compact). With the natural $(G \times G)$ -action on $V \otimes_R \tilde{V}$ and the $(G \times G)$ -action (l, r) on $C_c^\infty(G, R)$ (Lemma 2.4), the map γ is an $R[G \times G]$ -homomorphism. In addition, γ is not a zero map: indeed, we have $V \neq 0$, and also $\tilde{V} \neq 0$ by the formula $\tilde{V}^K = (V^K)^*$ ($K \in \Omega(G)$) in (i); we may then choose a $0 \neq \tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$, so that $\gamma(v \otimes \tilde{v})(1) = \langle \tilde{v}, v \rangle \neq 0$ for some $0 \neq v \in V$; we then have $\gamma(v \otimes \tilde{v}) \neq 0$.

(iii) For each Haar measure μ of G over R , set the map

$$\mu : C_c^\infty(G, R) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_R(G), \quad f \mapsto f\mu.$$

It is known that this map μ is an R -module isomorphism (§ 4.7), and we use it to transport the $(G \times G)$ -action (l, r) on $C_c^\infty(G, R)$ to a $(G \times G)$ -action on $H_R(G)$.

(iv) For each $W \in \text{Rep}_R(G)$, we have the map $\eta_W : H_R(G) \rightarrow \text{End}_{RG}(W)^\infty$ which associates each $T \in H_R(G)$ to its action on W : $\eta_W(T)w = \int_{g \in G} gw \, dT(g)$ for $w \in W$ (§ 4.5). With the $(G \times G)$ -actions on $H_R(G)$ and on $\text{End}_{RG}(W)^\infty$ as in (i) and (iii), the map η_W is an $R[G \times G]$ -module homomorphism. (Indeed, one uses the bi-invariance of μ to show that $\eta_W \circ \mu$ is an $R[G \times G]$ -module homomorphism.)

With this setup, a Haar measure μ of G over R is called a **formal degree of V** if the following diagram in $\text{Rep}_R(G \times G)$ is commutative:

$$(8.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V \otimes_R \tilde{V} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & C_c^\infty(G, R) \\ a \downarrow \wr & & \wr \downarrow \mu \\ \text{End}_{RG}(V)^\infty & \xleftarrow{\eta_V} & H_R(G) \end{array}$$

Once a formal degree of V exists, it is unique because all Haar measures of G are proportional (Lemma 3.4). We shall see in § 8.6 that in the case of compact G , the formal degree is a generalization of the usual degree of a representation.

8.4. Theorem. *Setup as in § 8.3. If $R = \mathbb{C}$ and G is countable at infinity, then V admits a unique formal degree.*

More generally, we have the following result (a corollary of [V, I.7.9]): *with the setup in § 8.3, if R is an algebraically closed field, then V admits a formal degree if and only if V is projective in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$ and \tilde{V} is irreducible in $\text{Rep}_R(G)$.*

Proof of Theorem 8.4. (Compare [S, 1.6] and [BZ, 2.42].)

(1) Choose an arbitrary measure μ of G on R , and consider the map

$$a^{-1} \circ \eta_V \circ \mu \circ \gamma : V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{V} \rightarrow V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{V},$$

which is a $\mathbb{C}[G \times G]$ -module homomorphism. As V is irreducible and admissible in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$, the representation $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{V}$ is irreducible and admissible in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G \times G)$ (§ 6.4, and [BZ, 2.16]), so Schur's lemma (§ 6.3) tell us that

$$a^{-1} \circ \eta_V \circ \mu \circ \gamma = d \cdot \text{id}_{V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{V}}$$

for some constant $d \in \mathbb{C}$. If we can show that $d \neq 0$, then $\mu_V := d^{-1}\mu$ will fulfill the relation $a^{-1} \circ \eta_V \circ \mu_V \circ \gamma = \text{id}_{V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{V}}$ and will hence be the formal degree of V .

(2) Upon considering the map $\gamma_\mu = \mu \circ \gamma : V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{V} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$, for each irreducible $W \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ not isomorphic to V , we claim that $\eta_W(\gamma_\mu(\sigma)) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{V}$, or equivalently $\eta_W(\gamma_\mu(V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{V})) = \{0\} \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}G}(W)$. Indeed, if we regard $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{V}$ as a smooth $\mathbb{C}G$ -module where G only acts on V , then for each $w \in W$, the map

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{V} \rightarrow W, \quad \sigma \mapsto \gamma_\mu(\sigma)w,$$

is a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module homomorphism, so its image (in W) is a direct sum of V (by the irreducibility of V) and hence must be zero because $W \not\cong V$. We then deduce that $\gamma_\mu(V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{V})w = \{0\} \subset W$ for each $w \in W$, whence $\gamma_\mu(V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{V}) = \{0\} \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}G}(W)$.

(3) Now we return to show that $d \neq 0$. By § 8.3(ii), γ is not a zero map, so there is a $\sigma \in V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{V}$ such that $\gamma(\sigma) \neq 0$ and hence $\gamma_\mu(\sigma) \neq 0$; by § 6.5, there is an irreducible $W \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ such that $\eta_W(\gamma_\mu(\sigma)) \neq 0$, so by (2) we know that W must be isomorphic to V , so $\eta_V(\gamma_\mu(\sigma)) \neq 0$. It follows that $d \cdot \sigma = a^{-1}(\eta_V(\gamma_\mu(\sigma))) \neq 0$, whence $d \neq 0$. \square

8.5. Theorem. *Suppose G is unimodular over \mathbb{C} and is countable at infinity. Let $\text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)_{\text{cpt}}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of compact irreducible representations in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$. Then: (below, the maps η_W are as in § 8.3(iv))*

(a) *For each $V \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)_{\text{cpt}}$ and each $K \in \Omega(G)$, there exists a unique idempotent $e_K^V \in H_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ such that $\eta_V(e_K^V) = \eta_V(e_K)$ and $\eta_W(e_K^V) = 0$ for every $W \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ different from V . For every $K, K' \in \Omega(G)$ with $K' \subset K$, we have*

$$e_{K'}^V e_K^V = e_K^V e_{K'}^V = e_{K'}^V e_K = e_K e_{K'}^V = e_K^V.$$

(b) *For every $V \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)_{\text{cpt}}$, each $E \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ decomposes into a direct sum $E = E_V \oplus E'_V$, where E_V is isomorphic to a direct sum of V , and E'_V has no subquotients isomorphic to V .*

(c) *Let $E \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$, and let E_{cpt} be the submodule of E generated by E_V for all $V \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)_{\text{cpt}}$. Then E_{cpt} is completely reducible and compact, and E/E_{cpt} has no nonzero compact subquotients.*

Proof of Theorem 8.5. (Compare [BZ, 2.42-2.44].) It suffices to prove (a) and (b).

(a) Let $V \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)_{\text{cpt}}$ and $K \in \Omega(G)$. The uniqueness of e_K^V follows from § 6.5, and we now construct e_K^V . By Theorem 8.4 we know that V has a unique formal degree μ_V ; using the proof of Theorem 8.4, one can show that e_K^V is given by

$$e_K^V = (\gamma \circ a^{-1} \circ \eta_V)(e_K)\mu_V$$

for each $K \in \Omega(G)$. The desired relations concerning $K, K' \in \Omega(G)$ follows from the uniqueness of e_K^V and Lemma 4.4.

(b) For each $f \in E$, the smoothness of E shows that $f \in E^K$ for some $K \in \Omega(G)$, so that $e_K f = f$ (Lemma 4.6); we then set $f_V = e_K^V f$, and by (a) we know that f_V is independent of choices of K ; then $E_V := \{f_V : f \in E\}$ and $E'_V := \{f - f_V : f \in E\}$ will have the desired properties. \square

8.6. Suppose that G is compact (so G is unimodular over \mathbb{C} by § 3.8, and G is countable at infinity), and let $V \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ (so V is necessarily compact).

We choose any $0 \neq v \in V$; as V is smooth, $v \in V^K$ for some $K \in \Omega(G)$; upon shrinking K when necessary, we may suppose furthermore that K is normal in G . The

space V^K thus obtained is a nonzero sub-CG-module of V , so that $V = V^K = e_K V$ by the irreducibility of V and by Lemma 4.6, and in particular we have $\eta_V(e_K) = \text{id}_V$.

By Theorem 8.5(a), there exists a unique idempotent $e_K^V \in H_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ such that $\eta_V(e_K^V) = \text{id}_V$ and $\eta_W(e_K^V) = 0$ for all $V \neq W \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$; moreover, by the proof of that theorem, the idempotent e_K^V is given by $e_K^V = (\gamma \circ a^{-1} \circ \eta_V)(e_K)\mu_V$; if we observe that $(\gamma \circ a^{-1})(A)(g) = \text{trace}(g^{-1}A|V)$ for all $A \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}G}(V)^{\infty}$ and all $g \in G$, then we can deduce that $e_K^V = \text{trace}(g^{-1}|V)\mu_V(g)$.

On the other hand, in view of § 7(c), the idempotent $e_V = (\text{deg } V)\text{trace}(g^{-1}|V)\mu_G(g)$ (with $\mu_G(G) = 1$) also satisfies $\eta_V(e_V) = \text{id}_V$ and $\eta_W(e_V) = 0$ for all $V \neq W \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$, so by the uniqueness of e_K^V we must have $e_K^V = e_V$, whence the relation

$$\mu_V = (\text{deg } V)\mu_G,$$

which links the formal degree of V and the degree of V .

Acknowledgements. The preliminary version of this note was used in a seminar talk on March 14th, 2023 in the Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, and I thank Cheng-Chiang, Wille, Kazuki, Harrison and all the other participants in that talk for their enlightening comments, which led to an improvement of this note.

References

- [BZ] I. N. Bernshtein and A. V. Zelevinskii, *Representations of the group $\text{GL}(n, F)$, where F is a non-Archimedean local field*, Russian Math. Surveys 31:3 (1976)
- [R] D. Renard, *Représentations des groupes réductifs p-adiques*, Cours Spécialisés n° 17, Soc. Math. de France (2010). Also available online at <https://perso.pages.math.cnrs.fr/users/david.renard/recherche.html>
- [S] V. Sécherre, *The Bernstein decomposition for smooth complex representations of $\text{GL}(n, F)$* (2013). Available online at <https://lmv.math.cnrs.fr/laboratoire/annuaire/membres-du-laboratoire/vincent-secherre/>
- [V] M.-F. Vignéras, *Représentations ℓ -modulaires d'un groupe réductif p-adique avec $\ell \neq p$* , Birkhäuser (1996)
- [We] A. Weil, *Basic Number Theory*, reprint of the 1974 edition, Springer-Verlag (1995)
- [Ws] P. R. Wesolek, *An introduction to totally disconnected locally compact groups* (2019). Available online at https://zerodimensional.group/reading_group/190227_michal_ferov.pdf