

An introduction to Multiple zeta values

Nobuo Sato¹

¹Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University

March 15, 2021, Basic Notion Seminar

What is MZV?

- **Pietro Mengoli (1644)**

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{4^2} + \cdots = ?$$

- **Leonhard Euler (1735)**

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{4^2} + \cdots = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

Euler then found

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^4} + \frac{1}{3^4} + \frac{1}{4^4} + \cdots = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^6} + \frac{1}{3^6} + \frac{1}{4^6} + \cdots = \frac{\pi^6}{945}$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^8} + \frac{1}{3^8} + \frac{1}{4^8} + \cdots = \frac{\pi^8}{9450}$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^{10}} + \frac{1}{3^{10}} + \frac{1}{4^{10}} + \cdots = \frac{\pi^{10}}{93555}$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^{12}} + \frac{1}{3^{12}} + \frac{1}{4^{12}} + \cdots = \frac{691\pi^{12}}{638512875}$$

⋮

What is MZV?

In general,

$$\sum_{0 < m} \frac{1}{m^{2k}} = \frac{B_{2k}}{2(2k)!} (2\pi i)^{2k}$$

with $B_{2k} \in \mathbb{Q}$: Bernoulli number.

- Euler also tried to evaluate

$$\sum_{0 < m} \frac{1}{m^3}, \sum_{0 < m} \frac{1}{m^5}, \sum_{0 < m} \frac{1}{m^7}, \text{ etc.},$$

but could not find any formula in terms of π . In stead, he found formulas like

$$\sum_{0 < m} \frac{1}{m^3} = \sum_{0 < m < n} \frac{1}{mn^2}.$$

- Hoffman (1992) defined *Multiple Zeta Values (MZV)*

$$\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_d) := \sum_{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_d} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_d^{k_d}} \quad (k_1, \dots, k_{d-1} \geq 1, k_d \geq 2)$$

for general $d \geq 1$ and started to investigate linear/algebraic relations among them.

Algebra of MZV

For $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$,

- $\text{wt}(\mathbf{k}) := k_1 + \dots + k_d$ is called the *weight*,
- $\text{dep}(\mathbf{k}) := d$ is called the *depth*.

Define the space of MZV's

$$\mathcal{L} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{ \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_d) \mid d \geq 0, k_1, \dots, k_{d-1} \geq 1, k_d > 1 \}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_k := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{ \zeta(\mathbf{k}) \mid \text{wt}(\mathbf{k}) = k \}$$

where $\zeta(\emptyset) := 1$.

- Product of MZV's is a linear combination of MZV's, e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(a)\zeta(b, c) &= \sum_{\substack{0 < m \\ 0 < n < l}} \frac{1}{m^a n^b l^c} \\ &= \sum_{0 < m < n < l} + \sum_{0 < m = n < l} + \sum_{0 < n < m < l} + \sum_{0 < n < m = l} + \sum_{0 < n < l < m} \\ &= \zeta(a, b, c) + \zeta(a + b, c) + \zeta(b, a, c) + \zeta(b, a + c) + \zeta(b, c, a) \end{aligned}$$

which gives $\mathcal{L}_k \mathcal{L}_l \subset \mathcal{L}_{k+l}$ and turns \mathcal{L} into a \mathbb{Q} -algebra (**harmonic relation**).

Iterated integrals

For real numbers $a_0 < a_{n+1}$ and complex numbers a_1, \dots, a_n , we consider the **iterated integral**

$$I(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n; a_{n+1}) := \int_{a_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < a_{n+1}} \frac{dt_1}{t_1 - a_1} \dots \frac{dt_n}{t_n - a_n}.$$

- It is convergent iff $a_0 \neq a_1$, $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \notin (a_0, a_{n+1})$.

Examples (logarithms)

If $b < a < c$,

$$I(a; b; c) = \int_{a < t < c} \frac{dt}{t - b} = [\log(t - b)]_a^c = \log\left(\frac{c - b}{a - b}\right).$$

Examples (polylogarithms)

If $z < 1$,

$$I(0; 1, \{0\}^{k-1}; z) = - \sum_{0 < m} \frac{z^m}{m^k} = -\text{Li}_k(z).$$

Kontsevich (199?) found that MZV's are iterated integrals of $\frac{dt}{t}$ and $\frac{dt}{t-1}$:

$$\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_d) = (-1)^d I(0; 1, \{0\}^{k_1-1}, \dots, 1, \{0\}^{k_d-1}; 1)$$

where $\{a\}^l := \overbrace{a, a, \dots, a}^l$. Note that $k_d > 1$ corresponds to $I(0; 1, \dots, \widehat{0; 1})$.

Iterated integrals

Iterated integrals are generalized to

$$I_\gamma(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n; a_{n+1}) := \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < 1} \frac{d\gamma(t_1)}{\gamma(t_1) - a_1} \cdots \frac{d\gamma(t_n)}{\gamma(t_n) - a_n}$$

for an arbitrary path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ from a_0 to a_{n+1} (i.e., $\gamma(0) = a_0, \gamma(1) = a_{n+1}$).

- It is convergent iff $a_0 \neq a_1, a_n \neq a_{n+1}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \notin \gamma(0, 1)$.
- Using the theory of tangential base points, $I_\gamma(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n; a_{n+1})$ can be generalized to $a_0 = a_1, a_n = a_{n+1}$ case.
- Product of iterated integrals is a linear combination of iterated integrals, e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\gamma(0; a; 1) I_\gamma(0; b, c; 1) &= \int_{\substack{0 < t_1 < 1 \\ 0 < t_2 < t_3 < 1}} \frac{d\gamma(t_1)}{\gamma(t_1) - a_1} \frac{d\gamma(t_2)}{\gamma(t_2) - a_2} \frac{d\gamma(t_3)}{\gamma(t_3) - a_3} \\ &= \int_{0 < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < 1} + \int_{0 < t_2 < t_1 < t_3 < 1} + \int_{0 < t_2 < t_3 < t_1 < 1} \\ &= I_\gamma(0; a, b, c; 1) + I_\gamma(0; b, a, c; 1) + I_\gamma(0; b, c, a; 1) \end{aligned}$$

which again gives $\mathcal{L}_k \mathcal{L}_l \subset \mathcal{L}_{k+l}$ and turns \mathcal{L} into a \mathbb{Q} -algebra ([shuffle relation](#)).

Relations among MZV's

Euler's relations: $\zeta(2k) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{2k}$, $\zeta(3) = \zeta(1,2)$ etc. are examples of relations among π and MZV's over \mathbb{Q} .

Finding concrete families of relations are one of the main interests in the research of MZV's. The followings are well-known examples:

- Double shuffle relation
- Ohno's relation
- GKZ-relation

Relations among MZV's - Double shuffle relation

There are two ways to expand $\zeta(\mathbf{k})\zeta(\mathbf{l})$ as a linear combinations of MZV's, namely, **harmonic** and **shuffle** relation. For example

$$\zeta(2)\zeta(3) = \zeta(2,3) + \zeta(3,2) + \zeta(5)$$

by **harmonic relation**, while

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(2)\zeta(3) &= -I(0;1,0;1)I(0;1,0,0;1) \\ &= -6I(0;1,1,0,0,0;1) - 3I(0;1,0,1,0,0;1) - I(0;1,0,0,1,0;1) \\ &= 6\zeta(1,4) + 3\zeta(2,3) + \zeta(3,2)\end{aligned}$$

by **shuffle relation**. By comparison, we get a \mathbb{Q} -linear relation

$$6\zeta(1,4) + 2\zeta(2,3) - \zeta(5) = 0$$

in weight 5. The relation obtained in this way is called **double shuffle relation**.

- Regularized double shuffle relation, which is an adequate extension of the double shuffle relation to divergent case, is conjectured to exhaust all the relations among MZV's (Ihara-Kaneko-Zagier).

Relations among MZV's - Ohno's relation

Note that any index can be uniquely expressed as

$$\mathbf{k} = (\{1\}^{a_1-1}, b_1 + 1, \dots, \{1\}^{a_l-1}, b_l + 1) \quad (a_1, \dots, a_l, b_1, \dots, b_l > 0).$$

The *dual index* \mathbf{k}^\dagger of \mathbf{k} is then defined as

$$\mathbf{k}^\dagger = (\{1\}^{b_l-1}, a_l + 1, \dots, \{1\}^{b_1-1}, a_1 + 1).$$

Define

$$O_m(k_1, \dots, k_d) := \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_d = m} \zeta(k_1 + m_1, \dots, k_d + m_d).$$

For example, $O_0(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta(\mathbf{k})$ and

$$O_1(k_1, \dots, k_d) = \zeta(k_1 + 1, k_2, \dots, k_d) + \zeta(k_1, k_2 + 1, \dots, k_d) + \dots + \zeta(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d + 1), \text{ etc.}$$

Theorem (Ohno's relation (1999))

For $m \geq 0$,

$$O_m(\mathbf{k}^\dagger) = O_m(\mathbf{k}).$$

- $m = 0$ case gives the duality relation $\zeta(\mathbf{k}^\dagger) = \zeta(\mathbf{k})$ which was originally conjectured by Hoffman. Duality relation became clear by the symmetry of Kontsevich's iterated integral representation.
- $m = 1$ case is known as Hoffman's relation, the simplest case of derivation relation by Ihara-Kaneko-Zagier.
- $\mathbf{k} = (k)$ (thus, $\mathbf{k} = (\{1\}^{k-2}, 2)$) case is the sum formula by Granville and Zagier.

Relations among MZV's - GKZ-relation

Gangl, Kaneko and Zagier investigated the space of double zeta values and found that for even weight k it is generated by

$$\{\zeta(a, b) \mid a + b = k, a, b : \text{odd}_{\geq 3}\} \cup \{\zeta(k)\}.$$

Moreover, these generators satisfy exactly “extra” relations:

Theorem (Gangl-Kaneko-Zagier (2006))

The values $\zeta(\text{odd}_{\geq 3}, \text{odd}_{\geq 3})$ of weight k and $\zeta(k)$ satisfies at least $\dim S_k$ linearly independent (explicit) relations, where S_k denotes the space of cusp forms of weight k for $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

For example, Ramanujan's delta

$$\Delta(z) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} = q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 - 1472q^4 + 4830q^5 - \dots \quad (q = e^{2\pi iz})$$

which is a cusp form of weight 12, gives the relation

$$28\zeta(3, 9) + 150\zeta(5, 7) + 168\zeta(7, 5) = \frac{5197}{691} \zeta(12)$$

in weight 12. Similarly, the unique cusp form in weight 16 is

$$\Delta(z)E_4(z) = q + 216q^2 - 3348q^3 + 13888q^4 + 52110q^5 - \dots$$

which gives the relation

$$66\zeta(3, 13) + 375\zeta(11, 5) + 686\zeta(9, 7) + 675\zeta(7, 9) + 396\zeta(5, 11) = \frac{78967}{3617} \zeta(16)$$

in weight 16.

Zagier's observation

Knowing that MZV's satisfy various relations over \mathbb{Q} , Zagier wondered "how many" relations there actually are. There seems to be no linear relations between MZV's of different weights i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{L}_k.$$

Thus Zagier computed conjectural values of $\dim \mathcal{L}_k$ for small k 's by a numerical method:

k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\dim \mathcal{L}_k$	1	0	1	1	1	2	2	3	4	5	7	9	12	16	21	28	37

Based on this table he proposed

Conjecture (Zagier's dimension conjecture)

Define $\{d_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ by $d_0 = 1, d_1 = 0, d_2 = 1$ and the recurrence $d_{k+3} = d_{k+1} + d_k$ ($k \geq 0$) (equivalently, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k t^k := \frac{1}{1-t^2-t^3}$). Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{L}_k = d_k.$$

If this is the case, $d_k \sim C \times (1.32471795 \dots)^k \ll 2^{k-2}$ ($= \#\{\text{MZV's of weight } k\}$). Furthermore, put

$$\mathbb{H}_k^{\text{Hoff}} := \{(k_1, \dots, k_d) \mid d \geq 0, k_1 + \dots + k_d = k, k_1, \dots, k_d \in \{2, 3\}\}.$$

Hoffman conjectured

Conjecture (Hoffman's basis conjecture)

For $k \geq 0$, $\{\zeta(\mathbf{k}) \mid \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}_k^{\text{Hoff}}\}$ forms a \mathbb{Q} -basis of \mathcal{L}_k .

Motivic MZV

To work with Zagier's conjecture, "motivic" framework is very powerful.

- $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{(k)} \subset \mathcal{O}(\underline{\text{Isom}}_{\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\text{dR}}, \omega_{\text{B}}))$ be the graded ring of (real effective) motivic periods of $\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})$.
 - ▶ $\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a Tannakian category of mixed Tate motives over \mathbb{Z} , introduced by Deligne-Goncharov.
 - ▶ ω_{dR} and ω_{B} are fiber functors on $\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})$ called de Rham and Betti realizations.
- There exists a (conjecturally injective) ring homomorphism

$$\text{per} : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

called *period map*.

- For $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in \{0, 1\}$, one can construct a framed object $I^{\text{m}}(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n; a_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ with the property

$$\text{per}(I^{\text{m}}(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n; a_{n+1})) = I(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n; a_{n+1}).$$

- Thus, Motivic MZV is defined by

$$\zeta^{\text{m}}(k_1, \dots, k_d) = (-1)^d I^{\text{m}}(0; 1, \{0\}^{k_1-1}, \dots, 1, \{0\}^{k_d-1}; 1)$$

and $\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{m}} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\zeta^{\text{m}}(\mathbf{k})\text{'s}\} \subset \mathcal{H}^{(k)}$.

- By the theory of mixed Tate motives, $\mathcal{H} \simeq \mathbb{Q}\langle f_3, f_5, f_7, \dots \rangle \otimes \mathbb{Q}[f_2]$ with $\deg f_i = i$.
 - ▶ This gives $\dim \mathcal{H}^{(k)} = d_k$.

Thus, we get "half" of Zagier's dimension conjecture (Terasoma, Goncharov):

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{L}_k \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{m}} \leq \dim \mathcal{H}^{(k)} = d_k.$$

Brown's theorem (1/3)

Concerning Hoffman's basis conjecture, Brown proved the following theorem.

Theorem

The motivic version of Hoffman's "basis"

$$\left\{ \zeta^m(\mathbf{k}) \mid \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{I}_k^{\text{Hoff}} \right\}$$

is \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\mathcal{H}^{(k)}$.

Remark

The theorem is equivalent to the \mathbb{Q} -linear independence of $\left\{ \zeta^m(\mathbf{k}) \mid \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{I}_k^{\text{Hoff}} \right\}$.

Corollary

$\mathcal{H}^{(k)} = \mathcal{L}_k^m$, i.e. *all (real effective) motivic periods of $\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})$ are (linear sums of) motivic multiple zeta values.*

Corollary

$\left\{ \zeta(\mathbf{k}) \mid \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{I}_k^{\text{Hoff}} \right\}$ spans \mathcal{L}_k : the space of MZV's of weight k .

Brown's theorem (2/3)

For Brown's theorem we need to look at finer structures of \mathcal{L}_k^m :

- Put $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{H} / \zeta^m(2)\mathcal{H}$.
 - ▶ the image of $\zeta^m(\mathbf{k})$ (resp. $I^m(-)$) in \mathcal{A} is denoted by $\zeta^a(\mathbf{k})$ (resp. $I^a(-)$).
- \mathcal{A} has a structure of Hopf algebra, and \mathcal{H} has a structure of \mathcal{A} -comodule whose coaction is denoted by

$$\Delta : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}.$$

The explicit formula of Δ was computed by Gocharov:

Theorem (Goncharov's coaction formula)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta I^m(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n; a_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq r \leq k \\ 0 = i_0 < \dots < i_{r+1} = k+1}} \left(\prod_{j=0}^r I^a(a_{i_j}; a_{i_j+1}, \dots, a_{i_{j+1}-1}; a_{i_{j+1}}) \right) \otimes I^m(a_{i_0}; a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}; a_{i_{k+1}}) \end{aligned}$$

Brown's theorem (3/3)

Brown's proof is based on the calculation of $\Delta\zeta^m(\mathbf{k})$ for $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{I}_k^{\text{Hoff}}$ using Goncharov's coproduct formula. In the argument, Zagier's 2-3-2 formula plays an essential role.

Theorem (Zagier's 2-3-2 formula)

$$\zeta(\overbrace{2, \dots, 2}^i, 3, \overbrace{2, \dots, 2}^j) = \sum_{\substack{r+s=i+j+1 \\ r>0, s \geq 0}} c_{r,s}^{i,j} \zeta(2r+1) \frac{\pi^{2s}}{(2s+1)!}$$

where $c_{r,s}^{i,j} = (-1)^r \left[\binom{2r}{2a+2} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{2r}}\right) \binom{2r}{2b+1} \right] \in \mathbb{Q}$.

- The motivic version of this theorem follows by computing coactions of both sides.
- The 2-adic property of $(c_{r,s}^{i,j})_{0 \leq j, s \leq n}$ is a key to Brown's proof.

Grothendieck–Teichmüller theory

Brown's theorem has an important implication in Grothendieck–Teichmüller theory, which studies actions of various Galois groups on various fundamental groups of algebraic varieties.

The prototype of such phenomena is

- Galois group:
 - ▶ $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$: the absolute Galois group of \mathbb{Q} .
- Fundamental group:
 - ▶ $\pi_1^{\text{geom}}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}; 0', 1')$: the geometric fundamental torsor of $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ with the tangential base points $0' := 0_{\vec{1}}, 1' := 1_{\vec{-1}}$.
 - ★ This is a torsor of the profinite completion of the free group of rank 2.

Then, $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\pi_1^{\text{geom}}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}; 0_{\vec{1}}, 1_{\vec{-1}})$, which defines a group homomorphism

$$\phi_{\text{abs}} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1^{\text{geom}}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}; 0', 1')).$$

What is the kernel/image of ϕ_{abs} ?

Theorem (Belyi)

The action above is faithful i.e., $\ker \phi_{\text{abs}} = 1$.

Thus the absolute Galois group is realized as automorphisms of some easy group. Unlike kernel, the determination of the image is an open problem.

- As a candidate of $\text{im } \phi_{\text{abs}}$, Drinfeld showed:
 - ▶ The image is contained in $\widehat{\text{GT}}$ called profinite Grothendieck–Teichmüller group, which is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\pi_1^{\text{geom}}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}; 0', 1'))$ defined by certain set of defining equations.
 - ▶ $\text{im } \phi_{\text{abs}} \stackrel{?}{=} \widehat{\text{GT}}$ is a very important (but also very hard) problem.

Grothendieck–Teichmüller theory

Now let us consider its motivic analogy. Fix $N = 1, 2$.

- Galois groups:

- ▶ $\mathcal{G}^{(N)} = \text{Gal}(\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z}[1/N])) := \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z}[1/N])}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\text{dR}}, \omega_{\text{dR}})$: Galois group of $\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z}[1/N])$.

- ▶ Then, $\mathcal{G}^{(N)} = \mathcal{U}^{(N)} \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ with $\mathcal{U}^{(N)}$: unipotent part.

- Fundamental groups:

- ▶ $N = 1$: $\Pi^{(1)} = \pi_1^{\text{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}; 0', 1')$:= $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1 \rangle^{\text{un}})$: the de Rham fundamental torsor of $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ with the tangential base points $0', 1'$.

- ▶ $N = 2$: $\Pi^{(2)} = \pi_1^{\text{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \pm 1, \infty\}; 0', 1')$:= $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1, e_{-1} \rangle^{\text{un}})$: the de Rham fundamental torsor of $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \pm 1, \infty\}$ with the tangential base points $0', 1'$.

Then, $\mathcal{U}^{(N)}$ acts on $\Pi^{(N)}$, which defines a group homomorphism

$$\phi_N : \mathcal{U}^{(N)} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Pi^{(N)}).$$

What is the kernel/image of ϕ_N ? Brown's theorem implies:

Theorem (Brown)

The action of $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}$ on $\Pi^{(1)}$ is faithful i.e., $\ker \phi_1 = 1$.

Similarly, Deligne showed:

Theorem (Deligne)

The action of $\mathcal{U}^{(2)}$ on $\Pi^{(2)}$ is faithful i.e., $\ker \phi_2 = 1$.

As in the case of absolute Galois group, the motivic Galois groups are realized as automorphisms of some easy groups. Then how about the image of ϕ_N ?

Grothendieck-Teichmüller theory

- $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1 \rangle^{\sqcup})$ (resp. $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1, e_{-1} \rangle^{\sqcup})$) is canonically identified with the set of group-like elements of $\mathbb{Q}\langle\langle e^0, e^1 \rangle\rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}\langle\langle e^0, e^1, e^{-1} \rangle\rangle$)
- Let $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ be the graded ring of (real) effective motivic periods of $\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ and

$$L^m : \mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1, e_{-1} \rangle^{\sqcup} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(2)}$$

be $L^m(e_{a_1} \cdots e_{a_n}) := I^m(0; a_1, \dots, a_n; 1)$.

- The entire action ϕ_N is determined by the action on $\phi_N(o_1 1_1)$ ($o_1 1_1$: identity element) as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im } \phi_1 &= \left\{ \sigma_p^{(1)} \in \text{Aut}(\Pi^{(1)}) \mid p \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1 \rangle^{\sqcup} / (e_1 e_0, \ker L^m \cap \mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1 \rangle^{\sqcup})) \right\} \\ \text{im } \phi_2 &= \left\{ \sigma_p^{(2)} \in \text{Aut}(\Pi^{(2)}) \mid p \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1, e_{-1} \rangle^{\sqcup} / (e_1 e_0, \ker L^m)) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_p^{(N)}(o_1 1_1) = o_p 1_1$.

For $N = 1$, the determination of the image is an open problem.

- As a candidate of $\text{im } \phi_1$, Drinfeld showed:
 - ▶ The image is contained in GRT called graded version of Grothendieck-Teichmüller group, which is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Pi^{(1)})$ defined by certain set of defining equations.
 - ▶ $\text{im } \phi_1 \stackrel{?}{=} \text{GRT}$ is a very important (but also very hard) problem.

For $N = 2$, we have a complete description of the image:

Theorem (Hirose-S.)

$\ker L^m = I_{\text{CF}}^{(2)}$ where $I_{\text{CF}}^{(2)}$ denotes the confluence relation of level two. Thus,

$$\text{im } \phi_2 = \left\{ \sigma_p^{(2)} \in \text{Aut}(\Pi^{(2)}) \mid p \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1, e_{-1} \rangle^{\sqcup} / (e_1 e_0, I_{\text{CF}}^{(2)})) \right\}$$

The future directions

- Periods of mixed Tate motives over a general number field F
 - ▶ For $R \subset F$, we have motivic framework
 - ★ Structure theorem of the ring of effective periods from algebraic K -theory.
 - ★ Gocharov's coaction formula
 - ▶ The cases with $MT(\mathbb{Z}[\mu_N, 1/N])$ is closely related to multiple L-values, which has nice depth filtration.
 - ▶ Goncharov's conjecture: Every period of mixed Tate motive over F is a F -linear sum of motivic iterated integrals over F .
- Periods of mixed motives
 - ▶ Mixed modular motives
 - ★ Multiple modular values
 - ★ Applications to the theory of mixed Tate motives

That's all for today.

Thank you so much for your attention!