

Week 5

- Goal:
1. Study quiver varieties without knowing algebraic geometry
 2. Realization of (irred. components of) Springer fibers in QV
 3. Fixed-point subvarieties and applications

1. Nakajima quiver variety M , introduced in '94, depends on:

- a quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$
- dimension vectors $\underline{d} = (d_i)_i, \underline{v} = (v_i)_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$

Motivations:

- o [Kronheimer '89] $Q = \tilde{A}, \tilde{D}, \tilde{E}$:
 $\Rightarrow M$ is used to study asymptotically locally Euclidean space, subject to the ADHM conditions after Atiyah-Drinfeld-Hitchin-Manin.
- o [Lusztig '90] $Q = \text{Dynkin}$
 \Rightarrow A subvar $\mathcal{L} \subset M$ is used to construct canonical basis for half quantum group

Defn Let $G = \text{Alg. group}$ \curvearrowright manifold M . Such a G -action is called Hamiltonian if

- (1) M is symplectic (i.e., smooth + symplectic form ω)
- (2) G preserves the symplectic form ω
- (3) \exists moment map $m: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ (where $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$) s.t. conds.

Fact (1) For fixed \underline{d} & \underline{v} . Each quiver Q is associated to a symplectic manifold

$$\text{Rep } \underline{Q}' \cong T^* \text{Rep } Q,$$

where \underline{Q}' is the framed quiver of Q ,

\underline{Q}' is the double framed quiver of Q .

Moreover, $\text{Rep } \underline{Q}'$ admits an action of $GL(V) := \prod_{i \in Q_0} GL(V_i)$, which induces a Hamiltonian action $\cdot GL(V) \curvearrowright T^* \text{Rep } \underline{Q}' \cong \text{Rep } \underline{Q}'$ with moment map $m: T^* \text{Rep } \underline{Q}' \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathfrak{g}(V_i)$

Example Let $Q = 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n-1$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{Q}' = \begin{array}{cccc} 1' & 2' & & n-1' \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & n-1 \end{array} \quad \& \quad \underline{Q}' = \begin{array}{cccc} 1' & 2' & & n-1' \\ \updownarrow & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & n-1 \end{array}$$

$$\text{Rep } \underline{Q}' = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} D_1 \quad D_2 \quad \dots \quad D_{n-1} \\ \begin{array}{c} P_1 \uparrow \Delta_1 \\ V_1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} P_2 \uparrow \Delta_2 \\ V_2 \end{array} \quad \dots \quad \begin{array}{c} P_{n-1} \uparrow \Delta_{n-1} \\ V_{n-1} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{A_1} \\ \xrightarrow{B_1} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{A_2} \\ \xrightarrow{B_2} \end{array} \quad \dots \quad \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{A_{n-2}} \\ \xrightarrow{B_{n-2}} \end{array} \end{array} \right\} \cong (A, B, P, \Delta)$$

$GL(V) \curvearrowright (A, B, P, \Delta)$ by

$$g = (g_i)_i : A_i \mapsto g_{i+1} A_i g_i^{-1}, B_i \mapsto g_i B_i g_{i+1}^{-1}, P_i \mapsto g_i P_i, \Delta_i \mapsto A_i g_i^{-1}$$

Defn A character of G is a group hom $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$

By a Hamiltonian reduction or (twisted) GIT quotient we mean the categorical quotient

$$\bar{m}^{\chi}(0) //_{\chi} G := \text{Proj}(A^{\chi}), \text{ where}$$

$A^{\chi} = \bigoplus_i A_i^{\chi}$ is a graded algebra with

$$A_i^{\chi} = \left\{ \text{regular } f: \bar{m}^{\chi}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f(g \cdot x) = \chi(g) f(x) \forall \begin{array}{l} g \in G \\ x \in \bar{m}^{\chi}(0) \end{array} \right\}$$

$\text{Proj}(A^{\chi}) = \text{graded ideal } J \subseteq A,$

maximal among graded ideals $\neq \bigoplus_{i>0} A_i^{\chi}$

Defn Nakajima quiver variety is the GIT quotient

$$M(\underline{d}, \underline{v}) = \bar{m}^{\chi}(0) //_{\chi} GL(V) \text{ for } \chi: GL(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \\ (g_i) \mapsto \prod \det g_i$$

Φ is VERY complicated. In below we do the special case for two rows:

$$\text{Rep } \mathcal{Q}' = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{C} \\ \uparrow A_k \\ \mathbb{C}^1 \xrightarrow{A_1} \mathbb{C}^2 \rightleftharpoons \dots \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{C}^k \rightleftharpoons \dots \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{C}^k \rightleftharpoons \dots \rightleftharpoons \mathbb{C}^1 \\ \downarrow B_k \\ \mathbb{C} \end{array} \right\}$$

Fix elts e_i, f_j so that

$$\tilde{D}'_1 = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{n-k}, f_1, \dots, f_k \rangle \quad \text{where } D'_i = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{n-k-i}, f_1, \dots, f_{k-i} \rangle$$

$$\tilde{P}_1 \uparrow \tilde{A}_1 \downarrow \tilde{V}_1 = V_1 \oplus D'_1 \xrightleftharpoons[\tilde{B}_1]{\tilde{A}_1} \tilde{V}_2 = V_2 \oplus D'_2 \xrightleftharpoons[\tilde{B}_2]{\tilde{A}_2} \dots \rightleftharpoons \tilde{V}_{n-1} = V_{n-1}$$

$\Rightarrow (\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{D})$ are described by block matrices S, T, A, B .

e.g.

$$\tilde{A}_1 = \begin{array}{c|cc} & V_1 & e_1 \dots e_{n-k} & f_1 \dots f_k \\ \hline V_2 & \Pi_{1,1} & \Pi_{1,2} & \Pi_{1,3} \\ e_1 & \Pi_{2,1} & \Pi_{2,2} & \Pi_{2,3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ e_a & \Pi_{a,1} & \Pi_{a,2} & \Pi_{a,3} \\ f_1 & \Pi_{1,f} & \Pi_{2,f} & \Pi_{3,f} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_b & \Pi_{1,f} & \Pi_{2,f} & \Pi_{3,f} \end{array}$$

can be obtained once we know all these matrices.

Δ Transversality tells you when these block matrices are zero, one.

e.g. $\lambda = (2, 1)$, $D'_0 = \langle e_1, e_2, f_1 \rangle$, $D'_1 = \langle e_1 \rangle$

$$\tilde{P}_1 \uparrow \tilde{A}_1 \downarrow \tilde{V}_1 = \begin{array}{c|cc} & V_1 & e_1 \\ \hline V_1 & \Pi_{1,1} & \Pi_{1,2} \\ e_1 & \Pi_{2,1} & \Pi_{2,2} \\ e_2 & \Pi_{3,1} & \Pi_{3,2} \\ f_1 & \Pi_{1,f} & \Pi_{2,f} \end{array}$$

\Rightarrow one then applies stab + adm to solve for A, B, S, T

$$V_1 \oplus D'_1 \xrightarrow[\tilde{B}_1]{\tilde{A}_1 = (A_1, \Pi_{1,1}^{e_1})} V_2 \Rightarrow F_0 = (\ker \tilde{P}_1 \rightarrow i)_i$$

$$\tilde{B}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ S \\ \Pi_{1,1}^{e_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

2. Irred. comp.

Thm [Im-Lai-Wilbert '20] For an arbitrary $\lambda \vdash n$,

\exists explicit formula for Φ and hence for $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathcal{M}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\lambda$

In particular, if $\lambda = (n-k, k)$, then

$$\tilde{\varphi}: [A, B, P, \Delta] \mapsto F_0 = \left(\ker \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \rightarrow i \rightarrow P_1 & \dots & P_k \rightarrow i & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \right)_i$$

e.g. $\lambda = (2, 1)$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} \\ \uparrow \Delta_1 & \uparrow \Delta_2 \\ \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} \end{array} \xrightarrow[\tilde{B}_1]{A_1} \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbb{C}^3 & \mathbb{C} \\ \uparrow \tilde{A}_1 & \\ \mathbb{C}^2 & \xrightarrow[\tilde{B}_1]{\tilde{A}_1} \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

with $\tilde{P}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_1 & 0 & B_1 P_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\tilde{A}_1 = (A_1 \ P_2)$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{P}_{1 \rightarrow 2} = \tilde{A}_1 \tilde{P}_1 = (A_1 P_1 \ P_2 \ A_1 B_1 P_2)$$

Recall that $\mathcal{B}_\lambda = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda} K_a$ where each irred comp K_a is described by the cup rel'n + ray rel'n:

Thm [Im-Lai-Wilbert '19]

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda} \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(K_a); \text{ where } (A, B, P, 0) \in \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(K_a) \text{ iff}$$

$$\begin{cases} i \cup j \text{ in } a \Leftrightarrow \ker(A_{j-1} \dots A_m) = \ker(B_{i-1} \dots B_{m-1}) & V_m \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_j \\ & V_i \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow V_m \\ i \text{ in } a \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} P_{i-k} \rightarrow i = 0 & \text{if } \neq \text{ cups to the left of } i \\ B_i A_i = 0 & \text{otw.} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

e.g. (cont.) $\mathcal{I}_\lambda = \{U1, U\}$ zero map

$$\text{Pick } a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \ker A_1 = \ker B_0 = V_1 \Leftrightarrow A_1 = 0 \\ B_3 A_3 = 0 \\ \uparrow \text{zero map} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}([0, B, P, 0]) = \{F_0 = (0 \subset \ker(P, B|_{\mathbb{F}_2}) \subset \ker(0|_{\mathbb{F}_2}) \subset \mathbb{C}^3)\}$$

$$= \{0 \subset F_1 \subset \langle e, f \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}^3\} = KIU$$

3. Fixed-point subvarieties

Fix $Q = 1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n-1$.

$\Rightarrow \exists$ involution θ on the Dynkin diagram



$\Rightarrow \exists$ variety automorphism on $M(d, \underline{v})$?

Done by [Henderson-Licata '14] (generalized by [Li '19] to Sostake diag)

Use identification $V_i \cong V_{n-i}$, $D_i \cong D_{n-i} \forall i \neq k$.

Fact (1) The assignment below defines an automorphism Θ on $M(d, \underline{v})$:

$$A_i \mapsto B_{n-1-i} \quad A_i \mapsto A_{n-i}$$

$$B_i \mapsto A_{n-1-i} \quad \Gamma_i \mapsto \begin{cases} \Gamma_{n-i} & \text{if } i \neq k \\ \Gamma_k \circ \delta_k & \text{if } i = k \end{cases}$$

where $\delta_k: e \mapsto e, f \mapsto (-1)^k f$ is an aut on $D_k = \langle e, f \rangle$ if $n=2k$

(2) The fixed-point subvar $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{\Theta} \cong$ Springer fiber \mathcal{B}_λ^D of type D

Thm [Im-Lai-Wilbert '19, '20]

\exists explicit formulas describing irreducible components of \mathcal{B}_λ^D
using either (complicated) quiver relations,
or (simpler) flag relations

e.g. $\lambda = (1, 1) \vdash 2 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} d = (2) \\ \underline{v} = (1) \end{cases} \cong$ hence $\mathcal{L}_\lambda = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^2 \\ \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C} \end{bmatrix} \mid P \neq 0 \right\}$

$\mathcal{I}_\lambda = \{U\}$

Want to compute the fixed-pt subvar $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{\Theta}$:

$$[P] \in \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{\Theta} \Leftrightarrow [P] = [\Theta(P)] = [P \circ \delta_1]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow gP = P \delta_1 \text{ for some } g \in \mathbb{C}^\times \quad (\star)$$

where $\delta_1: e \mapsto e, f \mapsto -f$ for $\mathbb{C}^2 = \langle e, f \rangle$.

Thus, $P = P \delta_1^2$ since δ_1 is an involution

$$= g^2 P \text{ by applying } (\star) \text{ twice}$$

$$\Rightarrow g^2 = 1 \in \mathbb{C}^\times \Rightarrow g = \pm 1$$

Case $g=1$

$$(\star) \Rightarrow P \delta_1 = P \Rightarrow P \delta_1(f) = P(f) \Rightarrow P(f) = 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \parallel & \cap & \text{or} \\ -P(f) & \mathbb{C} & \ker P = \langle f \rangle \end{array}$$

Case $g=-1$

$$(\star) \Rightarrow P \delta_1 = -P \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow P(e) = 0 \text{ or } \ker P = \langle e \rangle$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{\Theta} \cong \mathcal{B}_\lambda^D$ decomposes into two irreducible components

$$\{0 \subset \langle e \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}^2\} \text{ and } \{0 \subset \langle f \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}^2\}$$

Δ The argument here is particular nice since it's $GL(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^\times$.
For higher rank, it takes a lot more efforts.

Applications

- * [Nakajima '94] $\bigoplus_{\text{irred.}} H_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{L}(d, \underline{v}))$ is an integrable $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Q})$ -module Kac-Moody Lie alg for unoriented \mathbb{Q}
with highest weight $\sum d_i \bar{\omega}_i$ where $\bar{\omega}_i$: fundamental wt
- [Saito '02] $\bigsqcup_{\underline{v}} \{K_\alpha(d, \underline{v})\}$ is a Kashiwara global crystal base for $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Q})$