

Left-invariant and Bi-invariant Metrics

- Since a Lie group G is a smooth manifold as well as a group, it is customary to use Riemannian metrics that link the geometry of G with the group structure.

Definition. A Riemannian metric on a Lie group G is called **left-invariant** if

$$(1) \quad \langle u, v \rangle_x = \langle (L_a)_*u, (L_a)_*v \rangle \quad \forall a, x \in G, \quad u, v \in T_xG.$$

Similarly, a Riemannian metric is **right-invariant** if each $R_a : G \rightarrow G$ is an isometry.

- Since the tangent space at any point can be translated to the tangent space at the identity element of the group, the above relation (1) for left-invariance can be simply written as

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \langle (L_a)_*u, (L_a)_*v \rangle.$$

Proposition 1. There is a one-to-one correspondence between

- left-invariant metrics on a Lie group G and
- scalar products on the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(G)$
- a scalar product on T_eG under the canonical isomorphism $\text{Lie}(G) \ni X \mapsto X_e$.

Proof. (i) Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a left-invariant metric on G , and let $X, Y \in \text{Lie}(G)$. Then the function

$$\langle X, Y \rangle(\cdot) : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is constant on G . Indeed, because of the left-invariance of the vector fields X, Y as well as of the metric, we have that for any $a \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X, Y \rangle(a) &= \langle X_a, Y_a \rangle = \langle (L_a)_*X_e, (L_a)_*Y_e \rangle \\ &= \langle X_e, Y_e \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle_e. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\langle X, Y \rangle$ defines a scalar product on $\text{Lie}(G)$.

- Conversely, if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_e$ is a scalar product on $\text{Lie}(G)$, then the metric defined by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_a = \langle (L_{a^{-1}})_*X, (L_{a^{-1}})_*Y \rangle_e \quad \forall a \in G, \quad X, Y \in T_aG,$$

is a left-invariant metric on G . \square

Definition. A metric on G that is both left-invariant and right-invariant is called **bi-invariant**.

Theorem 2. A compact Lie group possesses a bi-invariant metric.

- For the case of bi-invariant metrics, Proposition 1 extends as follows.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

Proposition 3. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between*

- (a) *left-invariant metrics on a Lie group G and*
- (b) *Ad-invariant scalar products on the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(G)$, that is,*

$$(2) \quad \langle \text{Ad}X, \text{Ad}Y \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle \quad \forall g \in G, \quad X, Y \in \text{Lie}(G).$$

Furthermore, the last condition is equivalent to the relation

$$(3) \quad \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle.$$

Proof. We know that

$$\text{Ad}(g)X = dR_{g^{-1}}X$$

and hence, by using the **right invariance**,

$$\langle \text{Ad}X, \text{Ad}Y \rangle = \langle (R_{a^{-1}})_*X, (R_{a^{-1}})_*Y \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle.$$

To show (3), let $\exp(tX)$ be the flow of X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle &= \langle \text{ad}_X Y, Z \rangle = \left. \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ad}(\exp tX)Y \right|_{t=0}, Z \right\rangle \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \langle \text{Ad}(\exp tX)Y, Z \rangle \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \langle Y, \text{Ad}(\exp -tX)Z \rangle \right|_{t=0}, \quad \text{by (2)} \\ &= \langle Y, -\text{ad}_X Z \rangle = -\langle Y, [X, Z] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\langle [Y, X], Z \rangle = -\langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle$, which is (3).

- Conversely, if we assume (3), then, for all $X, Y, V \in \text{Lie}(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \text{Ad}(\exp tV)X, \text{Ad}(\exp tV)Y \rangle \\ &= \langle \exp(\text{ad}(tV))X, \exp(\text{ad}(tV))Y \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle \exp(\text{ad}(tV))X, \frac{t^i}{i!} (\text{ad}(V))^i Y \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle (-1)^i \frac{t^i}{i!} (\text{ad}(V))^i \exp(\text{ad}(tV))X, Y \rangle, \quad \text{by (3)} \\ &= \langle \exp(\text{ad}(-tV)) \exp(\text{ad}(tV))X, Y \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $\exp(\pm tV)$ is defined. \square

Example. The Killing form is Ad-invariant. Hence, if the Lie group G is compact and semisimple, the (negative) of the Killing form provides a bi-invariant Riemannian metric.

Geometric Aspects of a Compact Lie Group

Here we will examine various geometric quantities on a Lie group G with a left-invariant or bi-invariant metrics.

Notation: We use the notation A^* to denote the adjoint of the linear transformation A with respect to a given inner product.

Proposition 7. *Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a left invariant metric on G , and let X, Y, Z be left invariant vector fields. Then*

- (a) $D_X Y = \frac{1}{2}\{[X, Y] - (\text{ad}(X))^*(Y) - (\text{ad}(Y))^*(X)\};$
- (b) 1-parameter subgroups are geodesics iff for all X , $(\text{ad}(X))^*(X) = 0$.
- (c) $\langle R(Y, X)Z, W \rangle = \langle D_X Z, D_Y W \rangle - \langle D_Y Z, D_X W \rangle - \langle D_{[X, Y]} Z, W \rangle;$
- (d) $\langle R(Y, X)Y, X \rangle = \|(\text{ad}(X))^*(Y) + (\text{ad}(Y))^*(X)\|^2 - \langle (\text{ad}(X))^*(X), (\text{ad}(Y))^*(Y) \rangle - \frac{3}{4}\|[X, Y]\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\langle [[X, Y], Y], X \rangle - \langle [[Y, X], X], Y \rangle;$

Proof. (a) By left invariance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X\langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle D_X Y, Z \rangle + \langle Y, D_X Z \rangle = \langle D_X Y, Z \rangle + \langle Y, D_Z X \rangle - \langle Y, [Z, X] \rangle \\ 0 &= Y\langle Z, X \rangle = \langle D_Y Z, X \rangle + \langle Z, D_Y X \rangle = \langle D_Y Z, X \rangle + \langle Z, D_X Y \rangle - \langle Z, [X, Y] \rangle \\ 0 &= Z\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle D_Z X, Y \rangle + \langle X, D_Z Y \rangle = \langle D_Z X, Y \rangle + \langle X, D_Y Z \rangle - \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_X Y, Z \rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(X\langle Y, Z \rangle + Y\langle Z, X \rangle - Z\langle X, Y \rangle) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(\langle Z, [X, Y] \rangle - \langle Y, [X, Z] \rangle - \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle), \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle [X, Y], Z \rangle - \langle Y, [X, Z] \rangle - \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

(b) is immediate from (a).

(c) By the left invariance, $X\langle D_Y Z, W \rangle = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_X D_Y Z, W \rangle &= -\langle D_Y Z, D_X W \rangle \\ -\langle D_Y D_X Z, W \rangle &= \langle D_X Z, D_Y W \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(d) follows immediately from (a) and (c). Indeed, by (c), we have

$$\langle R(X, Y)Y, X \rangle = \langle D_X Y, D_Y X \rangle - \langle D_Y Y, D_X X \rangle - \langle D_{[X, Y]} Y, X \rangle,$$

in which, by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle D_X Y, D_Y X \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\langle [X, Y] - (\text{ad}(X))^*(Y) - (\text{ad}(Y))^*(X), [Y, X] - (\text{ad}(Y))^*(X) - (\text{ad}(Y))^*(X) \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}\|[X, Y]\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|(\text{ad}(X))^*(Y) + (\text{ad}(Y))^*(X)\|^2, \\ &\langle D_Y Y, D_X X \rangle = \langle (\text{ad}(X))^*(X), (\text{ad}(Y))^*(Y) \rangle \\ &\langle D_{[X, Y]} Y, X \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle [[X, Y], Y], X \rangle - \langle \text{ad}([X, Y])^*(Y), X \rangle - \langle \text{ad}(Y)^*([X, Y]), X \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\langle [[X, Y], Y], X \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle [[X, Y], X], Y \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle [X, Y], [Y, X] \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\langle [[X, Y], Y], X \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle [[Y, X], X], Y \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\|[X, Y]\|^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 8. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a bi-invariant metric on G . Then

- (a) $D_X Y = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y]$;
- (b) 1-parameter subgroups are geodesics.
- (c) $\langle R(Y, X)Z, W \rangle = \frac{1}{4}\langle [X, W], [Y, Z] \rangle - \frac{1}{4}\langle [X, Z], [Y, W] \rangle$;
- (d) $\langle R(Y, X)Y, X \rangle = \frac{1}{4}\| [X, Y] \|^2$.

In particular, the sectional curvature is nonnegative.

- Proof.* (a) now follows from the proof of Proposition 7.
 (b) 1-parameter subgroups are orbits of left invariant vector fields, so (a) \Rightarrow (b).
 (c) Substituting (a) into (c) of Proposition 7 gives

$$(4) \quad \langle R(Y, X)Z, W \rangle = \frac{1}{4}\langle [X, Z], [Y, W] \rangle - \frac{1}{4}\langle [Y, Z], [X, W] \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle [[X, Y], Z], W \rangle.$$

Since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is bi-invariant, by (3), we have

$$(5) \quad \langle Y, [X, Z] \rangle = -\langle Y, [Z, X] \rangle = \langle [Z, Y], X \rangle.$$

Using the Jacobi identity and (5), the last term in (4) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}\langle [[X, Y], Z], W \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle [Y, [Z, X]], W \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle [X, [Y, Z]], W \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle [Z, X], [Y, W] \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle [Y, Z], [X, W] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

- (d) follows immediately from (c). \square

Corollary 8*. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a bi-invariant metric on G . Then

$$(c^*) \quad R(Y, X)Z = \frac{1}{4}\langle [[X, Y], Z] \rangle.$$

Proof. By (a),

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= D_Y D_X Z - D_X D_Y Z + D_{[X, Y]}Z \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[Y, [X, Z]] - \frac{1}{4}[X, [Y, Z]] + \frac{1}{2}[[X, Y], Z]. \end{aligned}$$

By the Jacobi identity $[X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] = -[Z, [X, Y]]$. Hence

$$[Y, [X, Z]] - [X, [Y, Z]] = [Z, [X, Y]],$$

from which the result is obtained. \square

Proposition 9. Let G be a Lie group with a bi-invariant metric. Then for any $X, Y, Z \in \text{Lie}(G)$

- (a) The sectional curvature is given by

$$\mathcal{K}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\langle [X, Y], [X, Y] \rangle}{\langle X, X \rangle \langle Y, Y \rangle - \langle X, Y \rangle^2}.$$

(b) The Ricci curvature is given by

$$\text{Ric}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{4} \langle [X, E_i], [Y, E_i] \rangle,$$

where $\{E_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\text{Lie}(G)$.

(c) If G is compact and the bi-invariant metric is the metric coming from the Killing form, then the scalar curvature is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \dim(G).$$

Proof. (a) By the Ad-invariance of the inner product on $\text{Lie}(G)$ and Corollary 8*, we have

$$\langle R(Y, X)Y, X \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \langle [[X, Y], X], Y \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \langle [X, Y], [X, Y] \rangle.$$

(b) We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(X, Y) &= \text{tr}\{Z \mapsto R(X, Z)Y\} = \sum_i \langle R(X, E_i)Y, E_i \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \langle [[X, E_i], Y], E_i \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \langle [X, E_i], [Y, E_i] \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Ad-invariance in the last equality.

(c) We compute

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle [E_i, E_j], [E_i, E_j] \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle E_i, [E_j, [E_i, E_j]] \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle E_i, [E_j, [E_j, E_i]] \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_i B(E_i, E_i) = \frac{1}{4} \dim G. \end{aligned}$$

Definition. A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called an **Einstein manifold** if the Ricci curvature tensor satisfies the equation

$$\text{Ric}(X, Y) = cg(X, Y)$$

for some constant c .

Proposition 10. If G is semisimple and compact, and the bi-invariant metric is the metric coming from the Killing form, then

$$\text{Ric}(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{4}B(X, Y).$$

Thus G is an **Einstein manifold** with respect to the Killing form metric.

Proof. By Proposition 9 and the definition of the Killing form, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(X, Y) &= \text{tr}(\text{ad}X \circ \text{ad}Y) = -\sum_i \langle [X, [Y, E_i]], E_i \rangle \\ &= -\sum_i \langle [Y, E_i], [X, E_i] \rangle = -4\text{Ric}(X, Y). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$